


Supporting Information: Screening for Appropriate Assessment for marine survey and site investigation works for a fibre optic cable at Portmarnock, Co. Dublin.



15th February 2024

Prepared by: [REDACTED] (MCIEEM) of Altemar Ltd.
On behalf of: McMahon Design and Management Ltd.

Altemar Ltd., 50 Templecarrig Upper, Delgany, Co. Wicklow. 00-353-1-2010713. info@altemar.ie

Directors: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

Company No.427560 VAT No. 9649832U

www.altemar.ie

Document Control Sheet

Project	Supporting Information: Screening for Appropriate Assessment for marine survey and site investigations for a fibre optic cable at Portmarnock, Co. Dublin.		
Report	Appropriate Assessment Screening		
Date	15 th February 2024		
Version	Author	Reviewed	Date
Draft 01	██████████	██████████	5 th October 2023
Final	██████████		15 th February 2024

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1	Altemar Ltd.	2
2.	Background to the Appropriate Assessment	2
3.	Stages of the Appropriate Assessment	4
4.	Description of the Proposed Project	5
4.1	Management of the Site	5
4.2	Background	5
4.3	Zone of Influence	26
4.3.2	Marine Mammals	26
4.3.3	Migratory Fish	27
4.4	Identification of Relevant Natura 2000 Sites	28
4.4.1	Management of the Site	28
4.4.2	Relevant Natura 2000 Sites to the Proposed Project	28
4.4.3	UK Natura 2000 Sites	29
4.5	Cumulative Impact Assessment	86
4.5.1	Geographic Boundaries and the Timeline for Assessment	86
4.5.2	Identification of Plans/Projects that could act In Combination	86
4.5.3	Impact Identification	91
4.5.4	Pathway Identification	91
4.5.5	Prediction	91
4.5.6	Assessment	91
4.6	Appropriate Assessment Screening Conclusions	92
4.7	Data Used for AA Screening	93
4.8	References	94
	Appendix I	97

1. Introduction

An Appropriate Assessment is an assessment of the potential effects of a proposed project or plan, on its own, or in combination with other plans or projects, on one or more Natura 2000 sites. Natura 2000 sites are those sites designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) or Special Protection Areas (SPA).

The AA Screening stage examines the likely significant effects of a plan or project, either on its own, or in combination with other plans and projects, upon a Natura 2000 site and considers whether, on the basis of objective scientific evidence, it can be concluded that there are not likely to be significant effects on any European site, in view of best scientific knowledge and the conservation objectives of the relevant European sites.

The following Supporting Information for Screening for Appropriate Assessment Report (SISAA) has been prepared by **Altemar Ltd.** at the request of **McMahon Design and Management Ltd.**, as part of this Maritime Area Usage Licence (MAUL). The MAUL application relates to the proposed marine survey and site investigation works for an Ireland/UK marine fibre optic cable within the Irish Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ).

1.1 Altemar Ltd.

Since its inception in 2001, Altemar has been delivering ecological and environmental services to a broad range of clients. Operational areas include: residential; infrastructural; renewable; oil & gas; private industry; Local Authorities; EC projects; and, State/semi-State Departments. ██████████, the managing director of Altemar, is an Environmental Scientist and Marine Biologist with 28 years' experience working in Irish terrestrial and aquatic environments, providing services to the State, Semi-State and industry. He is currently contracted to Inland Fisheries Ireland as the sole "External Expert" to environmentally assess internal and external projects. He is also chair of an internal IFI working group on environmental assessment. ██████████ (MCIEEM) holds a MSc in Environmental Science, BSc (Hons.) in Applied Marine Biology, NCEA National Diploma in Applied Aquatic Science and a NCEA National Certificate in Science (Aquaculture). ██████████ carried out all elements of this SISAA. ██████████ has been involved in eleven international sub marine fibre optic cable projects, many of which involved Horizontal Directional Drills within designated sites and all works required ecological supervision.

2. Background to the Appropriate Assessment

The Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (together with the Birds Directive (2009/1477/EC)) forms the cornerstone of Europe's nature conservation policy. The Directive protects over 1000 animals and plant species and over 200 "habitat types" which are of European importance. In the Habitats Directive, Articles 3 to 9 provide the legislative means to protect habitats and species of European Community interest through the establishment and conservation of an EU-wide network of conservation sites (Natura, 2000). These are Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) designated under the Habitats Directive and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated under the Birds Directive), Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive set out the decision-making tests for plans and projects likely to affect European sites (Annex 1.1). Article 6(3) establishes the requirement for Appropriate Assessment:

"Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the [EUROPEAN] site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans and projects, shall be subjected to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implication for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the component national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public."

As outlined in “Managing European sites, The provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC” (European Commission, 21 November 2018) *“The purpose of the appropriate assessment is to assess the implications of the plan or project in respect of the site’s conservation objectives, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects. The conclusions should enable the competent authorities to ascertain whether the plan or project will adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned. The focus of the appropriate assessment is therefore specifically on the species and/or the habitats for which the European site is designated.”*

As outlined in the EC guidance document on Article 6(4) (January 2007)¹:

“Appropriate assessments of the implications of the plan or project for the site concerned must precede its approval and take into account the cumulative effects which result from the combination of that plan or project with other plans or projects in view of the site's conservation objectives. This implies that all aspects of the plan or project which can, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, affect those objectives must be identified in the light of the best scientific knowledge in the field.

Assessment procedures of plans or projects likely to affect European sites should guarantee full consideration of all elements contributing to the site integrity and to the overall coherence of the network, both in the definition of the baseline conditions and in the stages leading to identification of potential impacts, mitigation measures and residual impacts. These determine what has to be compensated, both in quality and quantity. Regardless of whether the provisions of Article 6(3) are delivered following existing environmental impact assessment procedures or other specific methods, it must be ensured that:

- *Article 6(3) assessment results allow full traceability of the decisions eventually made, including the selection of alternatives and any imperative reasons of overriding public interest.*
- *The assessment should include all elements contributing to the site’s integrity and to the overall coherence of the network as defined in the site’s conservation objectives and Standard Data Form, and be based on best available scientific knowledge in the field. The information required should be updated and could include the following issues:*
 - *Structure and function, and the respective role of the site’s ecological assets;*
 - *Area, representativity and conservation status of the priority and nonpriority habitats in the site;*
 - *Population size, degree of isolation, ecotype, genetic pool, age class structure, and conservation status of species under Annex II of the Habitats Directive or Annex I of the Birds Directive present in the site;*
 - *Role of the site within the biographical region and in the coherence of the European network; and,*
 - *Any other ecological assets and functions identified in the site.*
- *It should include a comprehensive identification of all the potential impacts of the plan or project likely to be significant on the site, taking into account cumulative impacts and other impacts likely to arise as a result of the combined action of the plan or project under assessment and other plans or projects.*
- *The assessment under Article 6(3) applies the best available techniques and methods, to estimate the extent of the effects of the plan or project on the biological integrity of the site(s) likely to be damaged.*
- *The assessment provides for the incorporation of the most effective mitigation measures into the plan or project concerned, in order to avoid, reduce or even cancel the negative impacts on the site.*
- *The characterisation of the biological integrity and the impact assessment should be based on the best possible indicators specific to the European assets which must also be useful to monitor the plan or project implementation.”*

¹ European Commission. (2007). Guidance document on Article 6(4) of the 'Habitats Directive' 92/43/EEC – Clarification of the concepts of: alternative solutions, imperative reasons of overriding public interest, compensatory measures, overall coherence, opinion of the commission;

3. Stages of the Appropriate Assessment

This SISAA was undertaken in accordance with the European Commission Methodological Guidance on the provision of Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC (EC, 2001), Part XAB of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, in addition to the December 2009 publication from the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government; 'Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland: Guidance for Planning Authorities' and the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011. In order to comply with the above Guidelines and legislation, the Appropriate Assessment process must be structured as follows:

1) Screening stage:

- Description of plan or project, and local site or plan area characteristics;
- Identification of relevant European sites, and compilation of information on their qualifying interests and conservation objectives
- Identification and description of individual in combination effects likely to result from the proposed project;
- Assessment of the likely significance of the effects identified above. Exclusion of sites where it can be objectively concluded that there will be no likely significant effects; and, Conclusions

2) Appropriate Assessment (Natura Impact Statement):

- Description of the European sites that will be considered further;
- Identification and description of potential adverse impacts on the conservation objectives of these sites likely to occur from the project or plan; and,
- Mitigation Measures that will be implemented to avoid, reduce or remedy any such potential adverse impacts
- Assessment as to whether, following the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures, it can be concluded, beyond all reasonable scientific doubt, that there will be no adverse impact on the integrity of the relevant European Site in light of its conservation objectives"
- Conclusions.

If it can be demonstrated during the AA screening phase (Stage 1), that the proposed project will not have a significant effect, whether alone or in combination with other plans or projects, on the conservation objectives of a Natura 2000 site, then no further AA (Stage 2) will be required. It is important to note that there is a requirement to apply a precautionary approach to AA screening. Therefore, where effects are possible, certain or unknown at the screening stage, AA will be required.

In addition, it should be noted that Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive must be interpreted as meaning that, in order to determine whether it is necessary to carry out, subsequently, an AA of the implications, for a site concerned, of a plan or project, it is not appropriate, at the screening stage, to take account of the measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the plan or project on that site.

4. Description of the Proposed Project

4.1 Management of the Site

The plan or project is not directly connected with, or necessary to the management of Natura 2000 sites.

4.2 Background

The applicant plans to investigate the feasibility of constructing a new subsea telecoms cable system, SOBR2, linking Ireland to the United Kingdom, from a landfall at Portmarnock to a landfall at Abergele on the North coast of Wales as shown in Figure 1 below. This Works Methodology is produced in support of an application for a marine survey and site investigations licence under the Maritime Area Planning Act 2021, and should not be used for any other purpose apart from that expressly stated in this document. The applicant intends to undertake the survey campaign at the proposed Licence Application Area within the IRL Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) in order to inform the location and design of the proposed cable route and landfall.




Figure 1. Proposed SOBR2 Telecoms Cable System

This Works Methodology has been prepared by McMahon Design and Management Ltd on behalf of the applicant and forms part of an application for a Licence for Marine Survey and Site Investigations for route and landfall options traversing the Irish Sea. The works will be carried out predominantly by remote sensing seabed mapping techniques (geophysical survey) with some selective sampling of the upper layers of the seabed (geotechnical survey). Once the results of the survey are obtained and analysed a preferred route corridor will be determined, design and method statements will be developed and a final Route Position List (RPL) will be defined as part of further submissions for a Maritime Area Consent and Planning consent for the installation works.

PROPOSED SURVEY ROUTE AND SURVEY LICENCE APPLICATION AREA IN IRISH TERRITORIAL WATERS

Licence Application Area

The License Application Area is situated off the coast of North Dublin (Figure 2). The licensed survey corridor has length of approx. 64.5 km and a total area of 3211 hectares within EEZ limits. A cable route corridor of approx. 500m width will be surveyed within the licence application area.




Figure 2. Proposed Survey Licence Application Area.




Figure 3. Landfall Location.

Landfall & Inshore Survey Corridors

The licence application area covers the proposed landfall options at Portmarnock and Malahide, with a survey corridor traversing the Irish Sea to the East. The general location is shown in Figure 3.

Portmarnock & Malahide

The licence application area covers potential landfalls at Portmarnock and Malahide. At Portmarnock the landfall location is adjacent to the R106 Strand Road and north of the Portmarnock Hotel. The landfall location at Malahide is adjacent to the public car park at Malahide South Beach. Any requirement for beach access for vehicles or equipment at the landfalls will be via the existing established slipways from the R106.

The Route Position List for the Licence Application Area is presented in Table 1 below.

Idx	Latitude	Longitude	Idx	Latitude	Longitude
1	53° 25' 47.5931" N	6° 07' 27.5129" W	30	53° 31' 03.5400" N	5° 45' 54.8588" W
2	53° 25' 50.9357" N	6° 05' 21.7543" W	31	53° 30' 14.4369" N	5° 50' 36.1001" W
3	53° 26' 39.4902" N	6° 01' 00.8514" W	32	53° 29' 31.0918" N	5° 52' 36.4157" W
4	53° 26' 49.9512" N	6° 00' 18.9929" W	33	53° 29' 11.7776" N	5° 52' 48.6081" W
5	53° 27' 30.7112" N	5° 57' 32.5247" W	34	53° 28' 54.6403" N	5° 52' 48.7172" W
6	53° 27' 33.2740" N	5° 56' 52.5744" W	35	53° 27' 25.1496" N	5° 55' 13.0236" W
7	53° 27' 26.2813" N	5° 56' 20.9305" W	36	53° 27' 21.9982" N	5° 55' 22.6914" W
8	53° 27' 05.3582" N	5° 55' 39.0283" W	37	53° 27' 21.8045" N	5° 55' 29.7342" W
9	53° 27' 06.0138" N	5° 55' 15.1948" W	38	53° 27' 40.4781" N	5° 56' 07.1319" W
10	53° 27' 12.1467" N	5° 54' 56.3805" W	39	53° 27' 49.7606" N	5° 56' 49.1374" W
11	53° 28' 48.0939" N	5° 52' 21.6463" W	40	53° 27' 46.5462" N	5° 57' 39.2451" W
12	53° 29' 08.8036" N	5° 52' 21.5107" W	41	53° 27' 04.8916" N	6° 00' 29.3685" W
13	53° 29' 20.1490" N	5° 52' 14.3471" W	42	53° 26' 54.7051" N	6° 01' 10.1281" W
14	53° 29' 59.5086" N	5° 50' 25.0878" W	43	53° 26' 25.4233" N	6° 03' 47.4718" W
15	53° 30' 47.9954" N	5° 45' 47.3664" W	44	53° 26' 41.4610" N	6° 04' 49.0097" W
16	53° 31' 47.7290" N	5° 39' 51.3161" W	45	53° 26' 44.6882" N	6° 05' 02.4690" W
17	53° 32' 50.1436" N	5° 31' 38.9254" W	46	53° 26' 57.3728" N	6° 05' 37.8271" W
18	53° 32' 41.4390" N	5° 20' 07.1803" W	47	53° 27' 03.0085" N	6° 06' 25.0628" W
19	53° 32' 53.0929" N	5° 18' 29.2293" W	48	53° 27' 06.0008" N	6° 07' 39.7846" W
20	53° 32' 34.2683" N	5° 17' 00.0341" W	49	53° 27' 04.6657" N	6° 08' 14.6837" W
21	53° 33' 06.9181" N	5° 17' 00.0098" W	50	53° 26' 59.9672" N	6° 08' 12.6978" W
22	53° 33' 11.3167" N	5° 17' 53.7841" W	51	53° 26' 51.2462" N	6° 08' 04.6861" W
23	53° 33' 09.3544" N	5° 18' 29.6663" W	52	53° 26' 46.9548" N	6° 06' 28.7450" W
24	53° 32' 59.6268" N	5° 20' 24.1487" W	53	53° 26' 42.0637" N	6° 05' 47.7492" W
25	53° 32' 59.2619" N	5° 21' 08.9449" W	54	53° 26' 30.1608" N	6° 05' 14.5701" W
26	53° 32' 55.6331" N	5° 21' 50.3126" W	55	53° 26' 26.5419" N	6° 04' 59.4764" W
27	53° 33' 06.3360" N	5° 31' 39.6477" W	56	53° 26' 18.0717" N	6° 04' 26.9751" W
28	53° 33' 06.2605" N	5° 31' 42.2162" W	57	53° 26' 06.9512" N	6° 05' 26.7302" W
29	53° 32' 03.2999" N	5° 39' 58.6545" W	58	53° 25' 58.9344" N	6° 07' 26.7586" W

Table 1. Survey Licence Area RPL



Figure 4. Landfall at Portmarnock



Figure 5. Landfall at Malahide




Figure 7. Inshore Survey Sections and Landfalls.

The general line of the inshore section of the proposed survey route is shown on an Admiralty Chart base in Figure 7. The route heads slightly north eastwards from the landfall, parallel to existing cables staying south of Lambay Island.

The landfall location shown on Ordnance Survey Maps are provided in Drawing 1358-001 and included with the Licence Application.

PROPOSED MARINE SURVEY & SITE INVESTIGATIONS SCHEDULE OF WORKS

The principal objective of the Marine Survey & Site Investigations is to ascertain a feasible and safe route for cable system design, deployment, survivability and subsequent maintenance with due regard for environmental and ecological considerations. The survey will also enable decisions to be made on cable armouring and burial. The survey will identify the necessary water depths, route features, seabed obstructions, seabed geomorphology and cable hazards and will also provide detailed information on the seabed sediment, subsurface stratigraphy and upper sediment layers to support cable route and installation engineering. The site investigations will provide “ground-truthing” of the geophysical data along the route.

The objectives of the marine geophysical survey shall be:

- To collect up to date high-resolution bathymetry along a 400 – 500m wide cable corridor within the License Application Area;
- To obtain information on the seabed surface (type, texture, variability, etc.) and in particular, to identify any seabed features that may be of interest.
- Identify any shallow geohazards and man-made hazards (including but not limited to outcropping, boulders, shallow gas, wrecks, debris etc.);
- Determine the stratigraphy of the upper layers of the seabed along the cable route and quantify the variability in the lateral and vertical extents to depths of 2-5m
- Identify any magnetic anomalies;
- Identify sensitive marine habitats which will need to be avoided during site investigations and sampling.

The survey operations will be broken down into separate but overlapping areas, with boundaries defined by water depth as specified in the technical requirements outlined below. These water depth boundaries may be adjusted due to suitability of the survey vessel(s) and survey spread. The survey and survey line spacing will be designed to ensure adequate coverage and overlap of geophysical measurements.

- Landfall Survey – Intertidal Zone
- Inshore Survey – from 3m Chart Datum to 15m Chart Datum
- Offshore Survey – Water depths greater than 15m Chart Datum

In order to ensure data continuity, coverage between the survey areas is required with indicated overlap below;

- Landfall Survey to Inshore Survey – 50m overlap
- Inshore Survey to Offshore Survey – 500m overlap

Landfall Survey & Site Investigations

A non-intrusive topographic survey along the line of the proposed cable route at the landfall is required to the low water mark. Intertidal and beach surveys (walkover survey) will be carried out on the beach by the project ecologist and the project archaeologist.

The topographical survey would typically be carried out by GPS Rover, Total Station or UAV Aerial Drone using photogrammetry or LiDAR techniques. The terrestrial geophysical survey will comprise remote sensing techniques such as Ground Penetrating Radar or Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) to establish subsurface features and depth to bedrock and magnetometer or handheld marine metal detector to locate buried ferrous objects.

Landfall Site Investigations will be undertaken to establish the depth and nature of the sediment. The focus of the site investigations will be on the upper layers of sediment to assess the feasibility of cable burial and installation techniques. The following may be undertaken at the landfall:

- Bar probes on the intertidal at 10m spacing (approx. 8 to 10 at each landfall).
- Bar probes from the Low Water Line to the 3m water depth contour at 30m spacing. (approx. 8 to 10 at each landfall)
- 3 Trial Pits on the beach (target depth 2.5m).

The bar probes on the intertidal are manually driven to a depth of 2 metres simply to prove the depth of upper layers of sand, gravel or soft material.

The Trial Pits will be positioned at approximately 30 to 50m centres starting seaward of the High Water Mark. The Trial Pits will be excavated, logged, photographed and backfilled in a single tidal cycle. The trial pits will be backfilled with the original excavated materials in the sequence in which they are excavated.

A summary Method Statement for excavation of the Trial Pits is as follows;

- Excavate sand and place to one side.
- Excavate substrate and place separate from sand.
- Measure, log and photograph each Trial Pit.
- Backfill in sequence compacting with bucket of back-hoe as the backfilling proceeds.

Inshore Marine Survey

The area extending seaward from the low water mark at the landfall and inshore of the safe working draft limits of the primary survey vessel will be accurately surveyed with a small craft or Unmanned Survey Vessel (USV) using Multibeam Echosounder (MBES), sidescan sonar, marine magnetometer and sub-bottom profile equipment. Sub-bottom profile equipment will be able to discern the nature and density of the upper 3 metres of seabed and will be used on a non-interfering basis with other sounding systems. A minimum of seven survey lines, based upon the Survey RPL, is required.

Features such as shallow reefs, surge channels, debris fields, archaeological features or anything that could be a hazard to the cable or installation team will be noted. General reconnaissance of the survey corridor beyond the planned survey lines and tie-lines may be necessary to describe the seabed as accurately as possible. A line plan showing number of survey lines as a function of depth will be determined prior to start of survey operations.

Survey Area	Depth Range	Survey Corridor Width	Min. # of Lines	Min. Overlap	Typical Survey Speed
Inshore	3m to 15m	400 - 500m	9	SSS: 100% MBES Bathy: 20%	4 knots

Table 2 Inshore Survey

Offshore Marine Survey

The area extending seaward from the outer limits of the inshore survey to the EEZ limits will be surveyed by the primary survey vessel using Multibeam Echosounder (MBES), sidescan sonar, marine magnetometer and sub-bottom profiler equipment. A continuous bathymetric swathe along with side scan sonar imagery and sub-bottom traces will be obtained, centred on the preliminary route and along all wing lines needed to complete the route corridor coverage. A minimum of seven survey lines, based upon the Survey RPL, is required.

Sub-bottom profile equipment will be able to discern the nature and density of the upper 3 metres of seabed and will be used on a non-interfering basis with other sounding systems.

Survey Area	Depth Range	Survey Corridor Width	Min. # of Lines	Min. Overlap	Typical Survey Speed
Offshore	> 15m	500m	7	SSS: 100% MBES Bathy: 20%	4 knots

Table 3. Offshore Survey.

Marine Site Investigations and Seabed Sampling

The purpose of the marine site investigations and seabed sampling is to evaluate the physical properties of the superficial seabed sediments along the cable route. These methodologies will ensure that a full understanding of the subsurface is achieved, focussing on the upper 3 metres of sediment to subsequently develop a cable burial assessment, installation and burial plan.

The scheduled site investigations and seabed sampling within EEZ limits will comprise of the following techniques:

- Up to 15 CPTs (2m to 3m)
- Up to 12 Gravity Cores / Vibrocores (3m)
- Up to 11 Grab Samples

Indicative locations for the relevant site investigation activities (Gravity or Vibrocore and CPT's) are shown in Figure 8. Typically, individual sampling positions will be determined following initial interpretation of the geophysical survey data. The positioning of individual site investigation locations will also take into consideration environmental constraints such as the position of sensitive habitats or archaeological features.

Two or more attempts may be made at each location to acquire a suitable sample. If an acceptable sample is achieved on the first attempt, there is no need to perform a second attempt.

An acceptable sample is defined as;

- Grab Sample – recovery of approximately a full bucket of sediment. Recovery of large size granular material may be taken as indication of a hard seabed.
- Gravity Core / Vibrocore – recovery of < 3m core of soil. If stiff or hard soils are encountered and are clearly indicated in the sample, it sample may be deemed acceptable. Any sample site yielding less than 1m of recovery must be investigated a second or third time unless there is obvious damage to the coring equipment indicating a hard or rocky substrate.
- CPT – Penetration to the 2m target depth or refusal. Any push resulting in less than 2m penetration will warrant a second attempt.




Figure 86. Indicative CPT and Vibrocore Locations

Seabed Sampling

The total overall scope of the Site Investigations is as follows

- | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| • Bar Probes | 20 No. on the intertidal |
| • Trial Pits | 6 No. on the beach |
| • Bar Probes | 20 No. from Low Water to 3m contour. |
| • Grab Samples | 11 No. along the route corridor. |
| • Gravity Cores / Vibrocores | 12 No. along the route corridor. |
| • Cone Penetration Tests | 15 No. along the route corridor. |

Underwater Video Survey

Underwater video camera system may be used for inspections of the seabed to investigate seabed obstructions, marine archaeology or benthic habitats. An underwater drop-down camera system or similar may be used in a series of video transects which would be georeferenced and later mapped in GIS.

Archaeological Survey

The proposed survey specification takes into account archaeological data acquisition to enable professional archaeological interpretation and analysis of data. The survey equipment deployed and data acquisition and processing shall comply with the requirements of the National Monuments Service, Underwater Archaeology Unit.

All archaeological assessments will be carried out under by a suitably qualified and experienced marine archaeologist to determine the location of all known archaeological features in advance of the intrusive site investigations and seabed sampling. The data collected will be used to support the archaeological assessments.

SURVEY EQUIPMENT PARAMETERS

Multibeam Echosounder (MBES)

Echo-sounders are a diverse group of acoustic sources used to collect information on bathymetry, seabed features and objects in the water column (e.g. Multi beam echosounder, scientific echo-sounders/ fish-finders). They measure water depth by emitting rapid pulses of sound towards the seabed and measuring the sound reflected back.

Multibeam Echosounder (MBES) will be used during the marine survey to provide detailed 3 dimensional bathymetric mapping of the cable route corridor using multiple beams elongated in the across-track direction to cover a fan-shaped sector (or swath) (Figure 9). Measurements of the across-track beam from MBES showed 3 dB beam widths of 150-160°; in the along-track orientation beam width is narrow, typically ~1.5-3.0° (Crocker & Fratantonio 2016).

MBES is non-intrusive and does not interact with the seabed. The MBES system will be used will be confirmed following the appointment of a survey contractor but typical systems which can be taken as examples would be the R2 Sonic 2024, Kongsberg EM2040 or Teledyne Seabat T50 which would be hull mounted on the survey vessel.




Figure 9. Graphic of MBES survey in operation

The acoustic signal emitted by MBES systems is short duration, typically of a few milliseconds or less, and can be configured to within the range 0.05-10 ms for certain systems. Repetition rates are highly customisable, varying with signal frequency and water depth. Ping rates of up to 10-20 pings per second may be used in very high frequency systems, whereas there may be several seconds between pings in low-frequency deep-water applications.

For collecting information on the seabed, emitted sound frequencies are typically between 12 – 400 kHz depending on water depth, with surveys in continental shelf applications operating at between 70 to 150 kHz, and in shallower waters of less than 200 m using multi-beam echosounders operating at between 200 and 500 kHz. The typical operating frequencies for the cable route survey within the licence application area will be in the range of 200kHz to 500kHz. (Danson 2005, Hopkins 2007, Lurton and DeReutier 2011).

Maximum sound source pressure levels of MBES have been reported as ranging from 210-245 dB re 1 μ Pa at 1m with the highest levels corresponding to the lowest frequency systems (DECC 2011, Lurton and DeReutier 2011, Lurton 2016, BEIS 2020). The highest measured source levels among three MBES systems when operated at maximum power for central operating frequencies of ≥ 100 kHz was between L_{p,pk} 225-228 dB re 1 μ Pa at 1m (L_{E,p} 181-197 dB re 1 μ Pa² s at 1m (Crocker & Fratantonio 2016).

Side Scan Sonar

Side-scan sonar (SSS) is a seabed imaging technique used to provide high-resolution and detailed 2 dimensional imagery of the seabed for a variety of purposes. SSS involves the use of an acoustic beam to obtain an accurate image over a narrow area of seabed to either side of the instrument.

Piezoelectric transducers in the SSS generate high-frequency acoustic pulses which are directed either side of the tow fish. The transducers are oriented such that the acoustic signal covers a wide angle perpendicular to the path of the tow fish through the water, providing information on a strip either side of the device (port and starboard). The intensity of the acoustic reflections from the seafloor is recorded in a series of cross-track images. When stitched together along the direction of motion, these images form a waterfall view of the sea floor within the swath of the beam. The range (swath width) is dependent upon the frequency, power and other source configurations, but is typically between 50-300 m on both sides.

Analysis of SSS data can aid identification of seafloor sediment, surficial bedrock outcrops and geomorphology mapping. Obstacles rising proud of the seafloor, such as shipwrecks, boulders, pipelines, outfalls, exposed cables, fishing gear etc. can cast shadows on the resulting seafloor image where no acoustic signal is returned. The size of the shadow can be used to determine the size of the feature casting it (Figure 10).




Figure 10. SSS image of shipwreck on seabed and nadir gap.

SSS is non-intrusive and does not interact with the seabed. The SSS system will be used will be confirmed following the appointment of a survey contractor but typical systems which can be taken as examples would be the Klein 3000 or Edgetech 4200 (Figure 11). The SSS may be hull mounted but is typically towed at depth behind the survey vessel on an armoured tow cable.



Figure 11. Deployment of Edgetech 4200 Tow fish

Acoustic signal durations of SSS systems are short (0.4ms – 1.0ms), but vary between models and configurations with longer signal durations are required to survey greater ranges. Repetition rates are highly customisable with ping rates of up to several tens of pings per second (Crocker & Fratantonio 2016).

The frequencies used by side-scan sonar are relatively very high, typically between 100 and 900 kHz. Most SSS systems offer real-time dual frequency operation which allows acquisition of both frequencies across a swath independently and simultaneously. The higher frequency produces higher resolution data and sharper images but with a narrow swath width while the lower frequency results in wider seabed coverage at lower resolutions.

SSS typically offer a selection of two operational frequencies in the range of 100-500 kHz, or may operate both simultaneously. Some models may offer an upper frequency of up to 900 kHz for applications requiring the highest resolution data. Across-track resolutions vary between 1-8 cm with finer resolution at higher operating frequencies. The typical operating frequencies for the cable route survey within the licence application area will be between 200 to 700 kHz.

The line spacing for the survey will be determined after consideration of all factors including water depth and prevailing conditions at time of survey. Generally for SSS, full coverage requires two passes with 100% overlap over a given area of sea-floor, with the two passes each insonifying the sea-floor from opposite directions to ensure targets are adequately imaged. This also ensures that the 'nadir gap' or the centre of the image directly under the path of the towfish is fully covered (Figure 10).

Sound source pressure levels of SSS systems have been reported typically in the range L_p, pk 200-240 dB re $1\mu Pa$ at 1m. (BOEM 2016, BEIS 2020, DAHG 2014). Maximum calibrated source levels, (sound pressure) measured by Crocker & Fratantonio (2016) were L_p, pk 227 dB re $1\mu Pa$ at 1m for a 0.1 ms pulse, whereas the highest energy source level of LE, p 205 dB re $1\mu Pa^2 s$ at 1m corresponded to a longer pulse of 1.1 ms at lower maximum pressure (L_p, pk 210 dB re $1\mu Pa$ at 1m).

Marine Magnetometer

A marine magnetometer is a passive towed sensor used to measure magnetic field strength and to detect variations in the total magnetic field of the underlying seafloor. The magnetometer does not transmit any signals into the marine environment.

Usually, the increased magnetization is caused by the presence of ferrous (unoxidized) iron on the seafloor or buried below the surface, whether from a shipwrecked vessel made of steel or from natural rock formations containing grains of magnetite. After corrections are made to measurements of the total magnetic field, magnetic data is used to locate existing infrastructure such as buried pipelines, undersea cables and to identify shipwrecks and potential unexploded ordnance.

Marine magnetometers are non-intrusive and do not interact with the seabed. They are towed at depth at least two and a half ship-lengths behind the survey vessel, so that the ship's magnetic field does not interfere with magnetic measurements. The marine magnetometer may be integrated and towed in tandem with the SSS. The marine magnetometer will be of the Caesium Vapour type and capable of recording variations in magnetic field strength during survey to an accuracy of $\pm 0.5nT$.

The marine magnetometer system to be used will be confirmed following the appointment of a survey contractor but typical systems which can be taken as examples would be the Geometrics G-882 or Marine Magnetics SeaSpy (Figure 12). The line spacing and coverage will generally match the SSS as they are towed in tandem and the parameters of the survey may be determined by the requirements of the Underwater Archaeology Unit of the National Monuments Service.

Figure 12. Marine Magnetics SeaSpy towfish.



Sub-bottom profiler

Sub-bottom profilers (SBPs) encompass a range of acoustic systems which are designed to collect information on the characteristics of strata below the seabed, establish changes in sediments and detect and image structures buried within the sediments (Figure 13). Shallow Sub-bottom profiling can penetrate the seabed to a range of depths, from a few metres to tens of metres depending on the geological conditions encountered, and with vertical resolutions from a few centimetres to a few metres. Most are towed behind a survey vessel, either at/near the surface or at depth, whereas some smaller devices may be hull-mounted or lowered over the side of a vessel on a pole mount.




Figure 13. Interpreted SBP seabed profile.

Pulsed waveform SBPs generate an acoustic signal either through the impulsive physical processes of electrostatic discharge, as in sparkers, or electromechanically via accelerated water mass, as in boomers. All periodic waveform SBPs i.e. pingers, chirpers and parametric SBPs are electromechanical sources which employ piezoelectric transducers to generate an acoustic waveform by converting electrical energy into mechanical movement i.e. vibrations. Through the reverse of this process, the transducers can also detect sound. As such, these sources are highly customisable; in many cases, the signal is modulated in frequency and/or amplitude to improve its detectability and performance.

The systems most commonly used for high-resolution surveying are the boomer (such as the Applied Acoustics S-Boom), pinger (such as the Kongsberg GeoPulse), chirp (such as the Edgetech SB-424, Figure 14) and parametric chirp systems (such as the Innomar SES-2000). Whereas the boomer system provides best results for coarser sediments, the pinger and chirp systems deliver detail for finer sediments.

The objective of the SBP cable route survey is to investigate the upper layers of the seabed sediments for cable burial potential and installation risk from seabed obstructions such as subcropping rock formations and is not focussed on deep seabed conditions such as required for investigation of offshore wind farm foundations or deepwater seismic surveys carried out by Oil and Gas Exploration. The SBP system used for the survey will be confirmed following the appointment of a survey contractor and the most appropriate system chosen depending on the seabed, anticipated geological environment and the survey vessel capabilities.

Sound source pressure levels of various SBP systems have been reported typically in the range $L_{p,pk}$ 185-247 dB re $1\mu Pa$ at 1m. (Hartley Anderson 2020, Crocker & Fratantonio 2016). A summary of the Maximum Sound Pressure Levels for SBP systems is described in Table 4 below. The SBP survey is non-intrusive therefore does not interact with the seabed.



Figure 7. Edgetech SB-424 tow body.

Equipment Type	Frequency Range	Duration	Maximum Source Pressure Level (re 1 μ Pa at 1 m)	Reference
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Pinger	2 kHz to 15 kHz	0.5 - 30 ms	214 dB.	Hartley Anderson 2020
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Chirper	2 kHz to 13 kHz	5 - 40 ms	185 - 215 dB.	Crocker & Fratantonio 2016, Hartley Anderson 2020
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Boomer	500 Hz to 15 kHz	0.5 - 1.0 ms	205 - 215 dB.	Crocker & Fratantonio 2016
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Parametric	4 to 15 kHz, 85 to 115 kHz	0.2 - 30 ms	238 - 247 dB. 200 - 206 dB.	Hartley Anderson 2020

Table 4. Typical SBP specifications

Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) Subsea Positioning

An Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) is a subsea positioning system widely used by the offshore marine industry and scientific research vessels to accurately track the position of towed equipment and sensors. The USBL system consists of a transceiver mounted to the survey vessel, and transponders on the towed equipment.

To calculate a subsea position, the USBL calculates both a range and an angle from the transceiver to the subsea beacon. Angles are measured by the transceiver, which contains an array of transducers. The transceiver emits an acoustic signal at predetermined periods (often 0.5 seconds) which is returned by the transponder and allows for the bearing and distance to be calculated.

USBL systems are designed for close range transmission and thus typically emit pulses of medium frequency sound (20 to 50 kHz). Manufacturers report SPL values of 194 to 207dB re 1 μ Pa at 1m depending on the model used, taking as an example the higher range of USBL source (Kongsberg HiPAP) with a SPL of 207dB re 1 μ Pa at 1m.

Cone Penetration Test (CPT)

The survey vessel will position itself over the target position to carry out the CPT. The seabed CPT rig (such as a Neptune 3000, Figure 15) is deployed to the seabed from the vessel crane, A-frame or dedicated Launch and Recovery System (LARS). Once on the seabed, in a stable position, a steel rod with a conical tip (typically an apex angle of 60° and a diameter of 35.7 mm) is pushed at a steady rate into the seabed until it reaches target penetration depth of 3 to 6m or refusal. The penetration resistance at the tip and along a section of the shaft (friction sleeve) is measured and recorded for later analysis.

Refusal is indicated by peak system thrust, excessive load on the tip or excessive inclination of the cone. If target penetration depth is not met, the CPT rig may be moved to a nearby position on the seabed and the test repeated. The time taken to complete a shallow CPT is typically less than 10 minutes but the total time in the water from deployment to recovery may be 1 to 2 hours at each position, depending on water depth and sea state.

There is very little published information on the sound pressure levels generated from CPT equipment, collected either from field experimentation or from manufactures specifications. Data from a similar device, deep boring, indicates that sound pressure source levels are typically within the range 118 - 145 decibels (dB) (BOEM 2012, EIRGRID 2014).



Figure 8. Neptune 3000 CPT rig

Gravity Core

Gravity corers (Figure 16) provide a rapid means of obtaining a continuous core sample in water depths from a few metres down to several thousand metres. A gravity corer consists of a steel tube in which is inserted a plastic liner to hold the core sample. Gravity corers are commonly used for cable route investigations.

A set of heavy weights, up to 750 kg, is attached at the top end of the tube above which is a fin arrangement to keep the corer stable and vertical during its fall to the seabed. The sampler penetrates the seabed under its own weight. Normal practice is to lower the device to within 10 m of the seabed before releasing. The penetration depth is between 1 m and 3 m. Penetration in stiffer clays or sands is usually limited.

The penetrating end of the tube is fitted with a cutter and a concave spring-steel core-catcher to retain the sample when the corer is retracted from the soil. The suction caused when withdrawing a core barrel from a soft soil such as clay, can pull the sample from the barrel, or in other ways disturb its homogeneity. By fitting a piston above the sample, the partial vacuum caused above the piston, when the barrel is withdrawn, keeps the sample from being pulled out of the tube.

Upon refusal or at target depth of 3m, the sampler is recovered on deck where the sample is split, typically into 1m lengths, logged, sealed and stored for later laboratory analysis. The typical diameter of the liner is in the region of 90mm with a typical maximum diameter of 120mm.




Figure 9. Gravity Corer schematic

Vibrocorer

Vibrocorers are used wherever soil conditions are unsuited to gravity corers or where greater penetration of the seabed is necessary. Vibrocore is best suited to non-cohesive soils (e.g. gravel or sand) as samples recovered are considered disturbed. Vibrocorers are commonly used for cable route investigations.

To penetrate soils such as dense sands and gravels, or to reach deeper into stiff clays, rather than depending on a gravity free-fall, the corer's barrel is vibrated, thus facilitating its penetration into the soil. This vibration energy allows the core barrel to penetrate the sediments under self-weight. In other respects, the barrel and sample retention systems are similar to gravity corers.


The typical vibrocorer consists of a tall steel frame and tripod support. Within the frame is a standard 102 mm steel coring barrel in which is inserted a PVC liner to contain the sample. The typical diameter of the PVC liner is in the region of 90mm with a typical maximum diameter of 120mm. A spring steel core catcher is fitted to the cutting shoe, as with the gravity corer. Two linear electric motors enclosed in a pressure housing provide the vibratory motion; the core barrel is attached directly to the motor housing. Power is fed to the motors via an electrical control line from the survey vessel.

Once in motion, the heavy motor housing provides the mass to drive the core barrel into the seabed. The penetration depth can be from 2m to 8m depending on seabed conditions. A typical 6 m vibrocorer will weigh nearly two tonnes and requires a crane for A-Frame or deployment and recovery. Vibrocorers come with barrel lengths of 3m, 6m and 8m. A normal coring operation in 100 m water depth will take about one hour.

Once coring is started, the core barrel will penetrate to the target depth. Upon refusal or at target depth of 3m, the vibrocore is recovered on deck where the sample in the liner is removed from the barrel, the sample is split, typically into 1m lengths, logged, sealed and stored for later laboratory analysis.

The sounds produced by the operation of a vibrocorer on the seabed consist of a series of impulses corresponding to the movement and impacts of the mechanics of the vibrating motion from the oscillating motors on the core barrel. Expected sound pressure levels generated by vibrocore equipment would be approximately 187.4 dB re 1 μ Pa at 1m (LGL, 2010),

Figure 10. Deployment of Vibrocorer from Survey Vessel



Grab-samplers

Grab samplers are one of the most common methods of retrieving soil samples from the seabed surface. The grab sampler is a device that simply grabs a sample of the topmost layers of the seabed by bringing two steel clamshells together and cutting a bite from the seabed surface to a depth of 0.1 to 0.5m. The information they provide can be applied in a number of applications such as seabed classification, environmental sampling, chemical and biological analysis and ground truthing for morphological mapping and geophysical survey. Grab samplers can be used to recover samples of most seabed soils, although care is needed in selecting the right size unit for the task.

There are various grab sampler types to include but not limited to Van Veen (single or double, Figure 18), Hamon, Shipek and Day Grab samplers. Generally, some variants may come both as single or double, and in a variety of different sizes. The grab sampler comprises two steel clamshells acting on a single or double pivot. The shells are brought together either by a powerful spring (Shipek type) or powered hydraulic rams operated from the survey vessel.

In operation, the grab is lowered from the survey vessel to the seabed with the clamshells in the open position and which trigger shut when the sampler is in contact with the seafloor. The shells swivel together in a cutting action and retains a sample of seabed. The sampler is then recovered to the survey vessel for visual inspection, processing, logging and transfer to suitable sample containers for storage and later laboratory analysis. Typical performance rates are between three and four samples per hour.

The smaller Shipek type grab sampler is useful for ground truthing geophysical surveys for the surface layer, and samples are taken to about 0.1 m below the seabed. Larger hydraulic grabs are capable of recovering relatively intact samples of consolidated soils to a depth of about 0.5 m. In areas of large cobbles or boulders, grabs can become jammed open and their contents washed away during recovery to the surface. However, the hydraulic grab is more likely to recover cobbles and small boulders than any other system, and in this respect is invaluable. Various grabs will be available for the survey to ensure adequate sampling equipment for various sediment types.



Figure 11. Single and Double Van Veen Grab.

SURVEY VESSELS

Offshore survey vessels are typically between 15m and 75m in length with potential for smaller vessels to be used in nearshore / shallow water areas. Offshore survey vessel typically have an endurance of approximately 14 to 28 days. A vessel with a shallow water draft will be utilised for the inshore survey area. An unmanned surface vehicle (USV) and/or autonomous surface vehicle (ASV) may also be used for the geophysical survey. The survey vessels may use a local port for personnel / equipment mobilisation, bunkering and provisioning.

The marine survey works will consist of a dedicated marine spread which will be suitable for the scope of work required, the water depth and the anticipated seabed conditions of the survey area. The exact equipment to be used will be confirmed following a tender process to procure the marine survey contractor.

All survey vessels will be fit for purpose, will possess all relevant classification certificates and capable of safely undertaking the survey work required. Health, safety, environment and welfare considerations will be a priority and will be actively managed during the course of the survey scopes of work. Appointed contractors will be required to comply with all legislation relevant to the activities within their scope of work. Prior to survey works taking place under Licence, both Project Supervisor for Design Process (PSDP) and Project Supervisor for Construction Stage (PSCS) will be appointed under the relevant legislation and project / survey specific HSE plans will be put in place which will form part of the survey project execution plans.

The vessels will conform to the following minimum requirements as appropriate:

- Compliance with Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS), International Maritime Organization (IMO) and national requirements for operating within Irish territorial waters.
- Station-keeping and sea keeping capabilities required to carry out the proposed survey operations safely;
- Calibrated equipment and spares with necessary tools for all specified works;
- Endurance (e.g. fuel, water, stores, etc.) to undertake the required survey works;
- Sufficient qualified staff to allow the survey operations to be carried out efficiently, (typically 24 hour continuous for offshore survey, 12 hour for nearshore survey); and
- Appropriate accommodation and crew welfare facilities.

Survey vessels will generate some subsea noise in the marine environment from engine noise and dynamic positioning thrusters. Shipping noise is typically within the 50-300 Hz frequency band and is the dominant noise source in deeper water (DECC, 2011). Propellers on vessels all have the potential to produce cavitation noise. This sound is caused by vacuum bubbles that were generated by the collapse of bubbles created by the spinning of the propellers.

Acoustic broadband source pressure levels typically increase with increasing vessel size, with smaller vessels (<50 m) having source pressure levels 160-175 dB (re 1µPa at 1m), medium size vessel (50-100 m) 165-180 dB (re 1µPa at 1m) and large vessels (>100 m) 180-190 dB (re 1µPa at 1m) (DECC, 2011). Every vessel has a unique noise signature and for each vessel this can change in response to a number of factors, including; ship speed, operational status, vessel load, the condition of the vessel and even the properties of the water that the vessel is operating in.

MARINE SURVEY AND SITE INVESTIGATIONS SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL SUMMARY

All survey works that involve the use of acoustic instrumentation will follow the Guidance to Manage the Risk to Marine Mammals from Man-made Sound Sources in Irish Waters, 2014.

The ranges of noise frequency and sound pressure levels associated with all the surveys outlined in previous sections is summarised in Tables 5. and 6 below. It can be noted that as the focus of the cable route surveys within the licence application area is the seabed surface and upper layers of seabed sediments and generally obtaining higher resolution data, the geophysical equipment such as MBES and SSS is generally operated more towards the higher end of the frequency range where possible.

TIMELINE AND DURATION OF SURVEY ACTIVITIES

The intention is to commence the survey as soon as feasible following license award, taking into account survey vessel availability, the overall cable route survey programme, seasonality and suitable weather windows. The exact mobilisation dates will not be known until the process of procuring a contractor and issue of the marine licence is complete. It is anticipated that the marine geophysical survey and site investigations activities within the marine licence area will take less than 6 weeks in total and will be completed over a 6 month period.

The estimated time required to complete the cable route survey campaign activities is described in Table 7 below.

Equipment Type	Purpose	Frequency Range	Duration	Maximum Source Pressure Level (re 1µPa at 1 m)	Reference
Multibeam Echo Sounder (MBES)	Measure detailed bathymetry by transmitting sound pulses (active sonar).	200 kHz to 500 kHz	0.05 - 10 ms	210 - 245 dB.	Danson 2005, Hopkins 2007, DECC 2011, Lurton and DeReutier 2011, Lurton 2016, BEIS 2020, Crocker & Fratantonio 2016
Side Scan Sonar (SSS)	Determine surficial nature of the seabed and detect objects by transmitting sound pulse.	200 kHz to 700 kHz	0.4 - 1.0 ms	200 - 240 dB.	BOEM 2016, BEIS 2020, DAHG 2014, Crocker & Fratantonio 2016
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Pinger	Identify different geological layers encountered in the shallow sediments and sediment thicknesses beneath the seabed.	2 kHz to 15 kHz	0.5 - 30 ms	214 dB.	Hartley Anderson 2020
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Chirper	Identify different geological layers encountered in the shallow sediments and sediment thicknesses beneath the seabed.	2 kHz to 13 kHz	5 - 40 ms	185 - 215 dB.	Crocker & Fratantonio 2016, Hartley Anderson 2020
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Boomer	Identify different geological layers encountered in the shallow sediments and sediment thicknesses beneath the seabed.	500 Hz to 15 kHz	0.5 - 1.0 ms	205 - 215 dB.	Crocker & Fratantonio 2016
Sub-bottom Profiler (SBP) - Parametric	Identify different geological layers encountered in the shallow sediments and sediment thicknesses beneath the seabed.	4 to 15 kHz, 85 to 115 kHz	0.2 - 30 ms	238 - 247 dB. 200 - 206 dB.	Hartley Anderson 2020
Ultra-Short Base Line (USBL)	Subsea positioning.	20 kHz to 50 kHz	5 - 10 ms	194 - 207 dB.	Kongsberg
Magnetometer	Identify ferrous anomalies for metal obstructions, shipwrecks, etc. on and under the seabed.	Passive	N/A	Passive	N/A
Survey Vessels	Carry out the survey and deploy the equipment.	50 Hz to 300 Hz	N/A	160 - 190 dB.	DECC 2011

Table 5. Marine Survey Activities.

Equipment Type	Purpose	Number of locations within Licence Application Area (up to)	Frequency Range	Maximum Source Pressure Level (re 1µPa at 1 m)	Reference
Cone Penetration Test (CPT)	Determine geotechnical engineering properties of seabed sediments.	15	28 Hz	118 - 145 dB.	BOEM 2012, EIRGRID 2014
Gravity Corer	Retrieve a seabed sediment sample by penetrating seabed with a steel core barrel under self-weight	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
Vibrocorer	Retrieve a seabed sediment sample by penetrating seabed with a vibrating steel core barrel	12	30 Hz	187.4 dB.	LGL 2010
Grab Samples	Collect small sediment samples from seabed surface with clamshell mechanism	11	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 6. Marine Site Investigation Activities.

Activity	Typical Time Period Required for Activity	Total Number of SI Locations	Total Time for SI	Foot Print Affected per SI	Foot Print Affected per SI (ha)	Total Foot Print (ha)	Area Directly Affected as % of Licence Application Area
Inshore Geophysical Survey	3 to 4 days (weather and sea state dependent)	400 - 500 m cable route corridor	3 to 4 days (weather and sea state dependent)	N/A	N/A	718 ha	22.36064%
Offshore Geophysical Survey	8 to 10 days (weather and sea state dependent)	500 m cable route corridor	8 to 10 days (weather and sea state dependent)	N/A	N/A	2493 ha	77.63936%
CPT	30 minutes - 2 hours in any one location	15	30 hours within total 6 days of Site Investigations campaign (weather and sea state dependent)	8m ²	0.0008 ha	0.012 ha	0.00037%
Gravity Corer	30 minutes - 2 hours in any one location	12	24 hours within total 6 days of Site Investigations campaign (weather and sea state dependent)	1m ²	0.0001 ha	0.0012 ha	0.00004%
Vibro Corer	30 minutes - 2 hours in any one location	12	24 hours within total 6 days of Site Investigations campaign (weather and sea state dependent)	8m ²	0.0008 ha	0.0096 ha	0.00030%
Grab Samples	20 minutes - 45 minutes in any one location	11	9 hours within total 6 days of Site Investigations campaign (weather and sea state dependent)	0.5m ²	0.00005 ha	0.00055 ha	0.00002%

Table 7. Estimated Time and Duration of Survey Activities

4.3 Zone of Influence

As outlined in Office of the Planning Regulator (2021) *“The zone of influence of a proposed development is the geographical area over which it could affect the receiving environment in a way that could have significant effects on the Qualifying Interests of a European site. This should be established on a case-by-case basis using the Source-Pathway-Receptor framework and not by arbitrary distances (such as 15 km).”*

IEEM (2006) defined the zone of influence as *“the areas/resources that may be affected by the biophysical changes caused by activities associated with a project”*. In order to define the extent of the study area for assessment, all elements of the project were assessed and reviewed in order to identify the spatial scale at which ecological features could be impacted. Due to the limited temporal and geographical scale of the project and the use of Best Available Techniques (BAT), the slow speed of the survey vessel (4kn), it is considered that the potential impacts of the proposed works could only extend beyond 500 m of the subtidal elements of the project due to noise generation and potential disturbance of sediment. However, as outlined in IEEM (2010) *“in the marine environment it is more difficult to define the geographical framework precisely and to accommodate all factors that should influence the definition of value, e.g. size or conservation status of populations or the quality of habitats.”* As a result, *“it is very unlikely that the impacts on integrity can be evaluated without considering functions and processes acting outside the site’s formal boundary.”* It is important to note that unlike other maritime operations, the research vessel speed will be very slow (4 knots). However, the project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment particularly through the use of Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL), Multibeam Echosounder (MBES), and Side-scan Sonar (SSS) equipment, which may extend the effects of the project beyond 2km. In the interest of carrying out a thorough assessment in line with both the Habitats Directive, and the precautionary principle, the ZOI was expanded for this assessment to include designated sites within 15km of the proposed development site, and sites beyond 15km that have the potential to be impacted by the proposed survey works based on the Source-Pathway-Receptor model. This was done in the interest of ensuring that any potential impacts, however indirect or remote, were taken into account.

4.3.2 Marine Mammals

4.3.2.1 Seals and Cetaceans

As outlined in NPWS² *“Cetaceans account for 48% of all the native species of mammals, both marine and terrestrial, recorded in Ireland and Irish waters are thought to contain important habitats for cetaceans within the northeast Atlantic. To date, 24 species of cetacean, or 28% of species described worldwide, have been recorded in Ireland. Irish cetaceans include six species of baleen whale and eighteen species of toothed whale, including five species of beaked whale. Twenty-two of these have been reported stranded ashore and 20 species observed at sea. Two species (Pygmy sperm whale and Gervais’ beaked whale) are only known from stranded individuals and two species (Northern right whale and White whale/beluga) have only been recorded historically, with neither species occurring in the stranding record so far.*

Ireland also has two species of seals, the Common Seal (or Harbour Seal) and the Grey Seal. Whilst both species haul out on land for key stages of their life history, the majority of their time is spent in the marine environment.

In Ireland, the 1992 EC Habitats Directive as transposed by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011) requires that both seal species and all cetaceans occurring in Ireland are maintained at favourable conservation status. Under Article 12 of the Directive, all cetaceans should receive strict protection within the Exclusive Economic Zone. Under Article 4 of the Directive, Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) must be proposed for the following species:”

- Bottlenose Dolphin
- Harbour Porpoise
- Common Seal
- Grey Seal

² <https://www.npws.ie/marine/marine-species/cetaceans>

The protection afforded to marine mammals in Ireland is summarised below:

- Harbour Porpoise Annex II of EC Habitats Directive Annex IV of EC Habitats Directive/Protected species of Wildlife (Amendment) Act/OSPAR List of Threatened and Declining Species and Habitats
- Bottlenose Dolphin Annex II of EC Habitats Directive/Annex IV of EC Habitats Directive/Protected species of Wildlife (Amendment) Act
- All Cetacea Annex IV of EC Habitats Directive/Protected species of Wildlife (Amendment) Act
- Grey Seal/Harbour Seal Annex II of EC Habitats Directive/Protected species of Wildlife (Amendment) Act

Recent research suggests that the foraging range for grey seals is 448km (Carter et al., 2022). Further, the foraging range for harbour seal is estimated at 273 km (Carter et al., 2022). Further, there are a number of SACs designated for cetaceans (harbour porpoise and common dolphin) in Ireland. As these species are a highly mobile species, and are designated as qualifying interests of Natura 2000 sites outside the Irish EEZ, specific Management Units (MU) are utilised to assess the potential impacts of a proposed project on these species, based on the JNCC Review of Management Unit boundaries for cetaceans in UK waters (2023) methodology³. The proposed project is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for harbour porpoise, and the Irish Sea MU for bottlenose dolphin (IAMMWG, 2015). The ZoI of the proposed project has been extended to include the potential for significant effects on grey seal, harbour seal, harbour porpoise and common bottlenose dolphin as there is potential for these mobile marine mammals to enter the ZoI from within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU.

4.3.2.2 Otter

Otters are a semi-aquatic species who use the marine environment for foraging and are protected under Annex II and Annex IV of the Habitats Directive. As detailed by Reid et al. (2013), female otters have territories of 7.5 ± 1.5 km in length along a riverine environment and 6.5 ± 1.0 km in coastal environments, while male otter territory along rivers is approximately 13.2 ± 5.3 km in length with a high degree of variability. Out of an abundance of caution, the ZoI of the proposed project has been extended to include the potential for significant effects on otter that may enter the proposed area of works.

4.3.3 Migratory Fish

In relation to Atlantic salmon, it has been found that salmon populations from southeast Ireland appear to migrate towards the shelf edge before crossing the Atlantic towards Greenland for feeding (Rikardson et al., 2021). The recorded areas of salmon migration are demonstrated in Figure A.1 in Appendix I.

Recent studies on Twaité Shad recorded movement of up to 950km from the River Severn with one individual detected in the Blackwater Estuary (Davies et al. 2020). However given the spatial and temporal nature of the proposed works, and the distance to this SAC, the proposed project is considered too distant from Natura 2000 sites where it is a feature of interest, for any significant interaction to occur. Similarly distant SACs designated for lamprey species were considered too distant for any significant interaction to occur.

³ <https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/b48b8332-349f-4358-b080-b4506384f4f7/jncc-report-734.pdf>

4.4 Identification of Relevant Natura 2000 Sites

4.4.1 Management of the Site

The proposed works are not directly connected with, or necessary to, the management of Natura 2000 sites.

4.4.2 Relevant Natura 2000 Sites to the Proposed Project

A key factor in the consideration as to whether or not a particular European site is likely to be affected by the proposed survey works is its distance from the works location. It is generally, but not necessarily, the case that the greater the distance from the plan or project the smaller the likelihood of impacts. In this case, the proposed survey works are located within the Malahide Estuary SAC, Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC, Malahide Estuary SPA, and North-West Irish Sea SPA.

Given that the proposed survey route is located within the Malahide Estuary SAC, Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC, Malahide Estuary SPA, and North-West Irish Sea SPA, out of an abundance of caution, in the absence of mitigation, during the survey works there is the potential for significant effects on the qualifying interests of these European Sites through disturbance, pollution and physical impact on habitats and species. Further information is required to assess the potential effects of the proposed works on European Sites.

In relation to marine mammals, given that the proposed survey route is located within Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC, there is potential for marine mammals from Rockabill to Dalkey Islands SAC (*Phocoena phocoena* (harbour porpoise)) to be in the vicinity of the proposed survey works. Although Lambay Island SAC is located 3km from the proposed cable survey corridor, the qualifying interests of this SAC (harbour seal and grey seal) are mobile species and there is the potential for these species to be in the vicinity of the proposed survey works. Further, following an examination of relevant MU's and foraging areas for grey seal and harbour seal, the following Natura 2000 sites have been screened IN due to the potential movements of harbour porpoise, common bottlenose dolphin, harbour seals, and grey seals (qualifying interests of these SAC):

- Slaney River Valley SAC (IE)
- Saltee Islands SAC (IE)
- Roaring Water Bay and Islands SAC (IE)
- Blasket Islands SAC (IE)
- North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol (UK)
- West Wales Marine / Gorllewin Cymru Forol (UK)
- Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau (UK)
- Murlough (UK)
- North Channel (UK)
- Strangford Lough (UK)
- Cardigan Bay / Bae Ceredigion (UK)
- Pembrokeshire Marine / Sir Benfro Forol (UK)
- The Maidens SAC (UK)
- Bristol Channel Approaches/Dynesfeydd Môr Hafren (UK)
- South-East Islay Skerries (UK)
- Lundy (UK)
- Isles of Scilly Complex (UK)
- Nord Bretagne DH (FR)
- Récifs et landes de la Hague (FR)
- Anse de Vauville (FR)
- Mers Celtiques – Talus du golfe de Gascogne (FR)
- Banc et récifs de Surtainville (FR)
- Côte de Granit rose-Sept-Iles (FR)
- Trégor – Goëlo (FR)
- Baie de Morlaix (FR)
- Abers – Côtes des legends (FR)
- Rivière Leguer, forêts de Beffou, Coat an Noz et Coat an Hay (FR)
- Cap d'Erquy-Cap Fréhel (FR)

- Ouessant-Molène (FR)
- Chausey (FR)
- Baie de Saint-Brieuc – Est (FR)
- Côtes de Crozon (FR)
- Baie du Mont Saint-Michel (FR)
- Baie de Lancier, Baie de l'Arguenon, Archipel de Saint Malo et Dinard (FR)
- Estuaire de la Rance (FR)
- Chaussée de Sein (FR)
- Récifs du talus du golfe de Gascogne (FR)

Further information is required to assess the potential effects of the proposed works on these European Sites.

All Natura 2000 sites within 15km, and beyond 15km with the potential for significant effects on Natura 2000 sites (including Irish, French, and UK sites), are listed in Tables 8-10. The qualifying interests, and the potential impact of the development on each European site and qualifying interest, are screened in/out in Table 11.

The proposed Survey Route Corridor and Works (including landfall onto Malahide & Portmarnock Beach) is demonstrated in Figures 19-22. Waterbodies located proximate to the Survey Route Corridor is demonstrated in Figure 23. SPAs (incl. Marine SPAs) and SACs within / proximate to the proposed Survey Route Corridor are demonstrated in Figures 24-26. SACs and SPAs within 10km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor are demonstrated in Figures 27 - 29. SACs and SPAs within 15 km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor are seen in Figures 30 - 32. The proposed fibre optic survey route in relation to the 12 nm limit, Designated Irish Continental shelf and Offshore SAC's (no offshore SAC's in the area) is demonstrated in Figure 33. Irish, French & UK SACs designated for Grey Seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) within 448km of the Proposed Survey Route Corridor are demonstrated in Figure 34. IE, FR, & UK SACs designated for Harbour Seals (*Phoca vitulina*) within 273km of the Proposed Survey Route Corridor are demonstrated in Figure 35. IE, FR, & UK SACs located within the Management Units (MU) for Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) and Harbour Porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) are demonstrated in Figures 36 & 37.

4.4.3 UK Natura 2000 Sites

MARA licencing in Ireland relates to licence applications out to the Irish EEZ limit. As a consequence of Brexit, from 1st January 2021, previously designated UK sites are no longer part of the Natura 2000 network but have designation as SAC's and SPA's and protection under UK law. The licencing within the UK territorial sea is covered by a permitting licence system managed by the Marine Management Organisation (MMO)⁴, Marine Scotland and Natural Resources Wales, depending on UK jurisdiction. The cable routes within UK waters are subject to this UK permitting process and the potential impacts on designated sites are subject to a separate application process assessed by UK authorities. Because the proposed cable system passes through UK waters and UK designated sites, mitigation measures will be implemented to protect the qualifying interests of the UK designated sites. It should be noted that a marine mammal observer will be in place within Irish waters.

For this overall project to take place it requires permitting both within UK and Irish waters. For the UK element of the proposed cable lay to proceed, it has to be approved by UK authorities and the reporting conclude that, following the implementation of appropriate mitigation, the proposed project would not adversely affect the integrity of UK designated sites, alone or in combination with other projects. The nearest UK designated site to the proposed cable route within the Irish EEZ limit is North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol SAC, which borders the proposed cable route (within UK waters). Out of an abundance of caution, given that this site borders the proposed cable route to the Irish EEZ limit, mitigation measures are required to ensure no significant impact on this designated site. Given the distance from the proposed route within the Irish marine area to all other UK designated sites, the project would not adversely affect the integrity of UK designated sites (with the exception of sites Screened IN due to underwater noise impacts on marine mammals in Table 2). These sites are assessed under UK licencing permissions.

⁴ L/2023/00095/1

Table 8. Proximity to designated sites of conservation importance (IE)


Designation	European Site	Distance
SAC	Malahide Estuary SAC	Within SAC
SAC	Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC	Within SAC
SAC	Baldoyle Bay SAC	1.2 km
SAC	Ireland's Eye SAC	2.7 km
SAC	Lambay Island SAC	3 km
SAC	North Dublin Bay SAC	4.5 km
SAC	Rogerstown Estuary SAC	4.7 km
SAC	Howth Head SAC	4.8 km
SAC	South Dublin Bay SAC	10.3 km
SAC	Slaney River Valley SAC	53.9 km
SAC	Saltee Islands SAC	141 km
SAC	Roaring Water Bay and Islands SAC	304.8 km
SAC	Basket Islands SAC	325.9 km
SPA	Malahide Estuary SPA	Within SPA
SPA	North-West Irish Sea SPA	Within SPA
SPA	Baldoyle Bay SPA	1.2 km
SPA	Ireland's Eye SPA	2.2 km
SPA	Lambay Island SPA	2.7 km
SPA	Rogerstown Estuary SPA	4.3 km
SPA	North Bull Island SPA	4.5 km
SPA	Howth Head Coast SPA	4.9 km
SPA	South Dublin Bay and River Tolka SPA	8.6 km
SPA	Rockabill SPA	9.3 km
SPA	Skerries Islands SPA	13 km

Table 9. Proximity to designated sites of conservation importance (UK)

Designation	European Site	Distance
SAC	North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol	Borders the Survey Area (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Murlough	67 km
SAC	North Channel	68.7 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	West Wales Marine / Gorllewin Cymru Forol	75.2 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau	78.2 km (Within MU for Bottlenose Dolphin)
SAC	Strangford Lough	83.4 km
SAC	Cardigan Bay / Bae Ceredigion	139 km (Within MU for Bottlenose Dolphin)
SAC	The Maidens SAC	146.9 km
SAC	Pembrokeshire Marine / Sir Benfro Forol	170.1 km
SAC	Bristol Channel Approaches/Dynesfeydd Môr Hafren	205.9 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	South-East Islay Skerries	233 km
SAC	Lundy	261.8 km
SAC	Isles of Scilly Complex	381.5 km

Table 10. Proximity to designated sites of conservation importance (FR)

Designation	European Site	Distance
SAC	Nord Bretagne DH	451.5 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Récifs et landes de la Hague	478.2 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Mers Celtiques – Talus du golfe de Gascogne	480.6 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Anse de Vauville	486.5 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Banc et récifs de Surtainville	505.7 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Côte de Granit rose-Sept-Iles	512.8 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Trégor – Goëlo	517.6 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Baie de Morlaix	537 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Abers – Côtes des légendes	539.2 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Rivière Leguer, forêts de Beffou, Coat an Noz et Coat an Hay	546.4 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Ouessant-Molène	551.9 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Cap d’Erquy-Cap Fréhel	554.2 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Chausey	555.7 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Baie de Saint-Brieuc - Est	572.8 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Côtes de Crozon	582.6 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Baie de Lancieux, Baie de l’Arguenon, Archipel de Saint Malo et Dinard	585.6 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Estuaire de la Rance	593.6 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Chaussée de Sein	600.5 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Baie du Mont Saint-Michel	604.5 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)
SAC	Récifs du talus du golfe de Gascogne	607.4 km (Within MU for Harbour Porpoise)




- SOBR2 - Survey Application Area
- SOBR2 Indicative Sampling
- Irish 12 Nautical Mile Limit
- Exclusive_Economic_Zone

0 10 20 30 40 km

Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] Altemar



Figure 19: Proposed Survey Route Corridor and Works (to Irish Exclusive Economic Zone).



0 5 10 15 20 km

Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [REDACTED] (Altemar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy



Figure 20: Proposed Survey Route Corridor and Works (to Irish 12 Nautical Mile Limit).



Figure 21. Proposed Survey Route Corridor within Malahide Beach



- SOBR2 - Survey Application Area
- SOBR2 Indicative Sampling
- - - High Water Mark
- Low Water Mark


0 100 200 300 400 500 m

Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] (Altemar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy




Figure 22. Proposed Survey Route Corridor within Portmarnock Beach



Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] (Altemar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy

Figure 23. Waterbodies proximate to the proposed Survey Route Corridor.










Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] (Altamar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy



Figure 24: Special Areas of Conservation proximate to the proposed Survey Route Corridor.



-  1 km
-  SOBR2 - Survey Application Area
-  SOBR2 Indicative Sampling
-  High Water Mark
-  Low Water Mark
-  SPA_ITM_2023_05

0 0.5 1 1.5 2 km

Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [REDACTED] (Altamar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy



Figure 25: Special Protection Areas proximate to the proposed Survey Route Corridor.





Figure 26: Marine SPAs proximate to the proposed Survey Route Corridor.




0 2.5 5 7.5 10 km

Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] (Altamar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy



Figure 27: Special Areas of Conservation within 10km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor



Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] (Altemar)



Figure 28: Special Protection Areas within 10km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor .




Figure 29: Marine SPAs within 10km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor




Figure 30: Special Protection Areas within 15 km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor.






Figure 31: Special Areas of Conservation within 15 km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor.



Project: SOBR2 Subseas Fibre Optic Cable
 Location: Portmarnock, Ireland
 Date: 14th February 2024
 Drawn By: [Redacted] (Altamar)

ALTEMAR
 Marine & Environmental Consultancy



Figure 32: Marine SPAs within 15 km of the proposed Survey Route Corridor.




Figure 33: Fibre optic survey route in relation to the 12 nm limit, Designated Irish Continental shelf and Offshore SAC's (no offshore SAC's in the area).




Figure 34: Irish, French & UK SACs designated for Grey Seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) within 448km of the Proposed Survey Route Corridor.




Figure 35: Irish, French & UK SACs designated for Harbour Seals (*Phoca vitulina*) within 273km of the Proposed Survey Route Corridor




Figure 36: Irish, French & UK SACs designated for Harbour Porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise




Figure 37: Irish, French & UK SACs designated for Bottlenose Dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Bottlenose Dolphin

Table 11. Initial screening of Natura 2000 sites within the potential ZOI of the proposed survey route.

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
Special Protection Areas			
IE004025	Malahide Estuary SPA	In	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Great Crested Grebe (<i>Podiceps cristatus</i>) [A005] Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046] Shelduck (<i>Tadorna tadorna</i>) [A048] Pintail (<i>Anas acuta</i>) [A054] Goldeneye (<i>Bucephala clangula</i>) [A067] Red-breasted Merganser (<i>Mergus serrator</i>) [A069] Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>) [A130] Golden Plover (<i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>) [A140] Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A141] Knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) [A143] Dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina</i>) [A149] Black-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa limosa</i>) [A156] Bar-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica</i>) [A157] Redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>) [A162] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</p> <p>Potential Impact The proposed cable survey route passes through this SPA. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach (within SPA) and Portmarnock Beach.</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide (within SPA) & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. However, initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on the features of interest of this SPA through physical impact on the intertidal and subtidal sediments within the SPA and physical disturbance which could impact the Features of Interest of this SPA. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SPA from significant effects.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required.</p>
IE004236	North-West Irish Sea SPA	In	<p>Conservation Objectives The maintenance of habitats and species within European sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests Red-throated Diver (<i>Gavia stellata</i>) [A001] Great Northern Diver (<i>Gavia immer</i>) [A003] Fulmar (<i>Fulmarus glacialis</i>) [A009] Manx Shearwater (<i>Puffinus puffinus</i>) [A013]</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>) [A017] Shag (<i>Phalacrocorax aristotelis</i>) [A018] Common Scoter (<i>Melanitta nigra</i>) [A065] Little Gull (<i>Larus minutus</i>) [A177] Black-headed Gull (<i>Chroicocephalus ridibundus</i>) [A179] Common Gull (<i>Larus canus</i>) [A182] Lesser Black-backed Gull (<i>Larus fuscus</i>) [A183] Herring Gull (<i>Larus argentatus</i>) [A184] Great Black-backed Gull (<i>Larus marinus</i>) [A187] Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>) [A188] Roseate Tern (<i>Sterna dougallii</i>) [A192] Common Tern (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>) [A193] Arctic Tern (<i>Sterna paradisaea</i>) [A194] Little Tern (<i>Sterna albifrons</i>) [A195] Guillemot (<i>Uria aalge</i>) [A199] Razorbill (<i>Alca torda</i>) [A200] Puffin (<i>Fratercula arctica</i>) [A204]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located within the proposed intertidal and subtidal cable survey area. The proposed survey route is located in an area that currently experiences a high level of vessel activity.</p> <p>Given the nature and scale of the proposed survey works within open water, and the fact that the qualifying interests of this SPA are bird species, which are highly mobile and accustomed to vessel activity in this area, no significant disturbance impacts on the North-West Irish Sea SPA are foreseen in the absence of mitigation.</p> <p>However, initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on the features of interest of this SPA through potential pollution within the SPA which could impact the Features of Interest of this SPA. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SPA from significant effects.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required.</p>
IE004016	Baldoyle Bay SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046] Shelduck (<i>Tadorna tadorna</i>) [A048] Ringed Plover (<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>) [A137] Golden Plover (<i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>) [A140] Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A141] Bar-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica</i>) [A157] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 1.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004117	Ireland's Eye SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>) [A017] Herring Gull (<i>Larus argentatus</i>) [A184] Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>) [A188] Guillemot (<i>Uria aalge</i>) [A199] Razorbill (<i>Alca torda</i>) [A200]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 2.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
IE004069	Lambay Island SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Fulmar (<i>Fulmarus glacialis</i>) [A009] Cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>) [A017] Shag (<i>Phalacrocorax aristotelis</i>) [A018] Greylag Goose (<i>Anser anser</i>) [A043] Lesser Black-backed Gull (<i>Larus fuscus</i>) [A183] Herring Gull (<i>Larus argentatus</i>) [A184] Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>) [A188] Guillemot (<i>Uria aalge</i>) [A199] Razorbill (<i>Alca torda</i>) [A200] Puffin (<i>Fratercula arctica</i>) [A204]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 2.7 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004015	Rogerstown Estuary SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Greylag Goose (<i>Anser anser</i>) [A043] Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046] Shelduck (<i>Tadorna tadorna</i>) [A048] Shoveler (<i>Anas clypeata</i>) [A056] Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>) [A130] Ringed Plover (<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>) [A137] Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A141] Knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) [A143] Dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina</i>) [A149] Black-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa limosa</i>) [A156]</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>) [A162] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 4.3 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004006	North Bull Island SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046] Shelduck (<i>Tadorna tadorna</i>) [A048] Teal (<i>Anas crecca</i>) [A052] Pintail (<i>Anas acuta</i>) [A054] Shoveler (<i>Anas clypeata</i>) [A056] Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>) [A130] Golden Plover (<i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>) [A140] Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A141] Knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) [A143] Sanderling (<i>Calidris alba</i>) [A144] Dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina</i>) [A149] Black-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa limosa</i>) [A156] Bar-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica</i>) [A157] Curlew (<i>Numenius arquata</i>) [A160] Redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>) [A162] Turnstone (<i>Arenaria interpres</i>) [A169] Black-headed Gull (<i>Chroicocephalus ridibundus</i>) [A179] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 4.5km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004113	Howth Head Coast SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>) [A188]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 4.9 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004024	South Dublin Bay and River Tolka SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046] Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>) [A130]</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Ringed Plover (<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>) [A137] Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A141] Knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) [A143] Sanderling (<i>Calidris alba</i>) [A144] Dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina</i>) [A149] Bar-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica</i>) [A157] Redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>) [A162] Black-headed Gull (<i>Chroicocephalus ridibundus</i>) [A179] Roseate Tern (<i>Sterna dougallii</i>) [A192] Common Tern (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>) [A193] Arctic Tern (<i>Sterna paradisaea</i>) [A194] Wetland and Waterbirds [A999]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 8.6 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004014	Rockabill SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Purple Sandpiper (<i>Calidris maritima</i>) [A148] Roseate Tern (<i>Sterna dougallii</i>) [A192] Common Tern (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>) [A193] Arctic Tern (<i>Sterna paradisaea</i>) [A194]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 9.3 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>(Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE004122	Skerries Islands SPA	Out	<p>Conservation Objective To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest Cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>) [A017] Shag (<i>Phalacrocorax aristotelis</i>) [A018] Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046] Purple Sandpiper (<i>Calidris maritima</i>) [A148] Turnstone (<i>Arenaria interpres</i>) [A169] Herring Gull (<i>Larus argentatus</i>) [A184]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SPA is located 13 km from the proposed cable survey area. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SPA, no disturbance impacts on this SPA are foreseen. The presence of a vessel offshore in an area of vessel activity and at such a distance would not be deemed to have an impact on the conservation objectives of this SPA. In the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
Special Areas of Conservation			
IE000205	Malahide Estuary SAC	IN	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i>) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (<i>Juncetalia maritimi</i>) [1410] Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (white dunes) [2120] Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130]</p> <p>Potential Impact The proposed intertidal works are within and cable survey route passes through, this SAC. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach (within SAC) and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour). The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. However, initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on the features of interest of this SAC through physical impact on the intertidal and subtidal sediments within the SAC and physical disturbance which could impact the Features of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
IE003000	Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC	In	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests Reefs [1170] <i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact The proposed subtidal cable survey route passes through this SAC. The survey is in the marine intertidal and subtidal element of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach. The marine survey is proximate to an area of existing vessel activity (Malahide Marina & Howth Harbour).</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Harbour Porpoise (<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>) are a conservation interest of this SAC and mitigation measures are required in relation marine mammals during the proposed project.</p> <p>The proposed survey works will be within an area of existing vessel traffic in proximate to the Malahide Marina and Howth Harbour, and the intertidal element is on popular beaches (Malahide & Portmarnock) with car parks and existing human and dog walking activity. However, initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on the features of interest of this SAC through underwater noise, pollution, physical impact on the intertidal and subtidal sediments within the SAC and physical disturbance which could impact the Features of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
IE000199	Baldoyle Bay SAC	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i>) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (<i>Juncetalia maritimi</i>) [1410]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is 1.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The features of interest are intertidal and terrestrial habitats. No mobile marine species are associated with this SAC. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SAC, in the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE002193	Ireland's Eye SAC	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Perennial vegetation of stony banks [1220] Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is 2.7 km from the proposed cable survey area. The features of interest are intertidal or terrestrial habitats. No mobile marine species are associated with this SAC Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SAC, in the absence of</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE000204	Lambay Island SAC	In	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Reefs [1170] Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230] <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> (Grey Seal) [1364] <i>Phoca vitulina</i> (Harbour Seal) [1365]</p> <p>Potential Impact The proposed cable survey area is located 3km from this SAC. Initial assessment identifies that in the absence of mitigation measures there may be potential for impact on the qualifying interests of this SAC through disturbance (noise). In particular, mitigation measures are required to ensure that there are no impacts on grey seals and harbour seals, which are features of interest of this SAC. Due to short term scale of the project, the distance from the works to the SAC, and the low level of impact, there is no possibility of significant effects on the other features of interest of this SAC.</p> <p>Mitigation measures are required for grey seals and harbour seals. Further information is required to determine the potential for adverse effects on this SAC.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required.</p>
IE000206	North Dublin Bay SAC	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Annual vegetation of drift lines [1210] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima</i>) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (<i>Juncetalia maritimi</i>) [1410] Embryonic shifting dunes [2110] Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (white dunes) [2120] Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130] Humid dune slacks [2190] <i>Petalophyllum ralfsii</i> (Petalwort) [1395]</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Potential Impact This SAC is 4.5km from the proposed cable survey area. The features of interest are intertidal and terrestrial habitats. No mobile marine species are associated with this SAC. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SAC, in the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey. No significant impact likely.</p>
IE000208	Rogerstown Estuary SAC	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Estuaries [1130] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima</i>) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (<i>Juncetalia maritimi</i>) [1410] Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (white dunes) [2120] Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) [2130]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is 4.7 km from the proposed cable survey area. The features of interest are intertidal and terrestrial habitats. No mobile marine species are associated with this SAC. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SAC, in the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey. No significant impact likely.</p>
IE000202	Howth Head SAC	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230] European dry heaths [4030]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is 4.8 km from the proposed cable survey area. The features of interest are intertidal and terrestrial habitats. No mobile marine species are associated with this SAC. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SAC, in the absence of</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE000210	South Dublin Bay SAC	Out	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Annual vegetation of drift lines [1210] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Embryonic shifting dunes [2110]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is 10.3 km from the proposed cable survey area. The features of interest are intertidal and terrestrial habitats. No mobile marine species are associated with this SAC. Due to the small scale of the proposed survey works, and the distance from the proposed survey area to this SAC, in the absence of mitigation, there will be no significant effects on the features of interest from the proposed works associated with this survey.</p> <p>No significant impact likely.</p>
IE000781	Slaney River Valley SAC	In	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Estuaries [1130] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima</i>) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (<i>Juncetalia maritimi</i>) [1410] Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation [3260] Old sessile oak woods with <i>Ilex</i> and <i>Blechnum</i> in the British Isles [91A0] Alluvial forests with <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (<i>Alno-Padion</i>, <i>Alnion incanae</i>, <i>Salicion albae</i>) [91E0] <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) [1029] <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> (Sea Lamprey) [1095] <i>Lampetra planeri</i> (Brook Lamprey) [1096] <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> (River Lamprey) [1099] <i>Alosa fallax fallax</i> (Twaite Shad) [1103] <i>Salmo salar</i> (Salmon) [1106] <i>Lutra lutra</i> (Otter) [1355] <i>Phoca vitulina</i> (Harbour Seal) [1365]</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 53.9 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of harbour seal (273 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on harbour seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of Interest of this SAC should this species enter the Zone of Influence. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 53.9 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on designated habitats, Lamprey species (Sea, Brook, and River), or Freshwater pearl mussel protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>In relation to Atlantic salmon, it has been found that salmon from southeast Ireland tend to move out to the shelf edge before crossing the Atlantic towards Greenland (Rikardson et al., 2021). Given that the proposed project is located within Dublin Bay (outside of the recorded areas of salmon migration – see Appendix I), and the temporal nature of the proposed works, no significant impacts on salmon are foreseen as a result of the proposed project in the absence of mitigation.</p> <p>In relation to Twaite Shad, given the spatial and temporal nature of the proposed works, and the distance to this SAC, the proposed project is considered too far for any significant interaction to occur.</p> <p>Further, no significant impacts on otter are foreseen. As detailed by Reid et al. (2013), female otters have territories of 7.5 ± 1.5km in length along a riverine environment and 6.5 ± 1.0km in coastal environments, while male otter territory along rivers is approximately 13.2 ± 5.3km in length with a high degree of variability. Given the nature of the proposed works and the significant distance between the proposed survey area and this SAC (53.9 km), in the absence of mitigation, no significant effects on otter species are likely as a result of the proposed project.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
IE000707	Saltee Islands SAC	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Large shallow inlets and bays [1160] Reefs [1170] Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230] Submerged or partially submerged sea caves [8330] Halichoerus grypus (Grey Seal) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is located 141 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of Interest of this SAC if grey seal from this SAC were to enter the SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 141 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
IE000101	Roaringwater Bay and Islands SAC	In	<p>Conservation Objective The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest Large shallow inlets and bays [1160] Reefs [1170] Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230] European dry heaths [4030] Submerged or partially submerged sea caves [8330] Phocoena phocoena (Harbour Porpoise) [1351] Lutra lutra (Otter) [1355] Halichoerus grypus (Grey Seal) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact This SAC is 304.8 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023) and is located</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal and harbour porpoise (features of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Features of Interest of this SAC should this mobile marine mammal enter the Zol. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 304.8 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>Further, no significant impacts on otter are foreseen. As detailed by Reid et al. (2013), female otters have territories of 7.5 ± 1.5 km in length along a riverine environment and 6.5 ± 1.0 km in coastal environments, while male otter territory along rivers is approximately 13.2 ± 5.3 km in length with a high degree of variability. Given the nature of the proposed works and the significant distance between the proposed survey area and this SAC (304.8 km), in the absence of mitigation, no significant effects on otter species are likely as a result of the proposed project.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise, pollution, and physical disturbance into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise and grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
IE002172	Blasket Islands SAC	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.</p> <p>Features of Interest</p> <p>Reefs [1170] Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts [1230] European dry heaths [4030] Submerged or partially submerged sea caves [8330] Phocoena phocoena (Harbour Porpoise) [1351] Halichoerus grypus (Grey Seal) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 325.9 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023) and is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p>

NATURA Site	NAME	Screened In/Out	SSCO's/Reason
			<p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal and harbour porpoise (features of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Features of Interest of this SAC should this mobile marine mammal enter the Zol. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 325.9 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise and grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
Special Areas of Conservation (UK)			
UK0030398	North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>Maintain site integrity by ensuring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Harbour porpoise are a viable component of the site. 2. There is no significant disturbance of the species. 3. The condition of supporting habitats and processes, and the availability of prey is maintained. <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Harbour Porpoise (<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC borders the proposed cable survey area within the Irish EEZ. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise, pollution, and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
UK0016612	Murlough	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (“grey dunes”) [2130] *priority habitat. Atlantic decalcified fixed dunes (<i>Calluno-Ulicetea</i>) [2150] *priority habitat. Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time [1110] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i>) [1330] Embryonic shifting dunes [2110] Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (“white dunes”) [2120] Dunes with <i>Salix repens</i> ssp. <i>argentea</i> (<i>Salicion arenariae</i>) [2170] Marsh fritillary butterfly (<i>Euphydryas (Eurodryas, Hypodryas) aurinia</i>) [1065] Harbour Seal (<i>Phoca vitulina</i>) [1365]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 67 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of harbour seal (273 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour seals (qualifying interests of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 67 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats or the marsh fritillary butterfly protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0030399	North Channel	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>Maintain site integrity by ensuring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Harbour porpoise are a viable component of the site. 2. There is no significant disturbance of the species.

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>3. The condition of supporting habitats and processes, and the availability of prey is maintained.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Harbour Porpoise (<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 68.7 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0030397	West Wales Marine / Gorllewin Cymru Forol	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>Maintain site integrity by ensuring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Harbour porpoise are a viable component of the site. 2. There is no significant disturbance of the species. 3. The condition of supporting habitats and processes, and the availability of prey is maintained. <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Harbour Porpoise (<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 75.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0013117	Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To achieve favourable conservation status all the following, subject to natural processes, need to be fulfilled and</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>maintained in the long-term. If these objectives are not met restoration measures will be needed to achieve favourable conservation status.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i>) [1330] Submerged or partially submerged sea caves [8330] <i>Lutra lutra</i> (Otter) [1355] <i>Tursiops truncatus</i> (Common Bottlenose Dolphin) [1349] <i>Halichoerus grypus</i> (Grey Seal) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 78.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Irish Sea MU for Bottlenose Dolphin (JNCC, 2023) and is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal and bottlenose dolphin (features of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Features of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 78.2 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>Further, no significant impacts on otter are foreseen. As detailed by Reid et al. (2013), female otters have territories of 7.5 ± 1.5km in length along a riverine environment and 6.5 ± 1.0km in coastal environments, while male otter territory along rivers is approximately 13.2 ± 5.3km in length with a high degree of variability. Given the nature of the proposed works and the significant distance between the proposed survey area and this SAC (78.2 km), in the absence of mitigation, no significant effects on otter species are likely as a result of the proposed project.</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on bottlenose dolphins and grey seals (qualifying interests of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect bottlenose dolphins and grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0016618	Strangford Lough	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Large shallow inlet and bay [1160] Coastal lagoons [1150] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by sea water at low tide [1140] Reefs [1170] Annual vegetation of drift lines [1210] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i>) [1330] Perennial vegetation of stony banks [1220] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Harbour (Common) Seal (<i>Phoca vitulina</i>) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 83.4 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of harbour seal (273 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on harbour seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 83.4 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats protected as qualifying interests of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0012712	Cardigan Bay / Bae Ceredigion	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Bottlenose dolphin (<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>) [1349]</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 139 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Irish Sea MU for Bottlenose dolphin (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on bottlenose dolphin (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect bottlenose dolphin.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0030384	The Maidens	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time [1110] Reefs [1170] Grey Seal (<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 146.9 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 146.9 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on sandbanks or reefs protected as qualifying interests of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
UK0013116	Pembrokeshire Marine / Sir Benfro Forol	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests</p> <p>Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time [1110] Estuaries [1130] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Coastal lagoons [1150] Large shallow inlets and bays [1160] Reefs [1170] Submerged or partially submerged sea caves [8330] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i>) [1330] Grey Seal (<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>) [1364] Otter (<i>Lutra lutra</i>) [1355] Allis shad (<i>Alosa alosa</i>) [1102] Twaite shad (<i>Alosa fallax</i>) [1103] River lamprey (<i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>) [1099] Sea lamprey (<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>) [1095] Shore dock (<i>Rumex rupestris</i>) [1441]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 170.1 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on grey seal (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 170.1 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on designated habitats, Lamprey species (Sea and River), or Shore dock protected as a qualifying interest of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>In relation to Twaite shad and Allis shad, given the spatial and temporal nature of the proposed works, and the distance to this SAC, the proposed project is considered too far for any significant interaction to occur.</p> <p>Further, no significant impacts on otter are foreseen. As detailed by Reid et al. (2013), female otters have territories of 7.5 ± 1.5km in length along a riverine environment and 6.5 ±</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>1.0km in coastal environments, while male otter territory along rivers is approximately 13.2 ± 5.3km in length with a high degree of variability. Given the nature of the proposed works and the significant distance between the proposed survey area and this SAC (170.1 km), in the absence of mitigation, no significant effects on otter species are likely as a result of the proposed project.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0030396	Bristol Channel Approaches/Dynesfeydd Môr Hafren	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Harbour porpoise (<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 205.9 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for harbour porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0030067	South-East Islay Skerries	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Harbour (Common) Seal (<i>Phoca vitulina</i>) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 233 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of harbour seal (273 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on harbour seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0013114	Lundy	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time [1110] Reefs [1170] Submerged or partially submerged sea caves [8330] Grey Seal (<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 261.8 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 261.8 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats protected as qualifying interests of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
UK0013694	Isles of Scilly Complex	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interest</p> <p>Sandbanks which are slightly covered by seawater all the time [1110] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140]</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>Reefs [1170] Shore Dock (<i>Rumex rupestris</i>) [1441] Grey Seal (<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>) [1364]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 381.5 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the foraging range of grey seal (448 km) (Carter et al., 2022).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, there may be potential for impact on grey seal (feature of interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Feature of Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The survey area is located 381.5 km from this conservation site. Given the nature of the proposed works, and the significant distance to this SAC across a marine environment, in the absence of mitigation measures, no significant impacts on habitats or shore dock protected as qualifying interests of this SAC are foreseen from the proposed works associated with this survey license application.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect grey seals.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
Special Areas of Conservation (France)			
FR2502022	Nord Bretagne DH	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 451.5 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR2500084	Récifs et landes de la Hague	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 478.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5302015	Mers Celtiques – Talus du golfe de Gascogne	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 480.6 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR2502019	Anse de Vauville	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 486.5 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR2502018	Banc et récifs de Surtainville	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 505.7 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
FR5300009	Côte de Granit rose-Sept-Iles	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 512.8 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300010	Trégor – Goëlo	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 517.6 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300015	Baie de Morlaix	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 537 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300017	Abers – Côtes des légendes	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 539.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300008	Rivière Leguer, forêts de Beffou, Coat an Noz et Coat an Hay	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>This SAC is 546.4 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300018	Ouessant-Molène	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 551.9 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300011	Cap d'Erquy-Cap Fréhel	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 554.2 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR2500079	Chausey	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 555.7 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300066	Baie de Saint-Brieuc - Est	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 572.8 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5302006	Côtes de Crozon	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 582.6 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300012	Baie de Lancieux, Baie de l'Arguenon, Archipel de Saint Malo et Dinard	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 585.6 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
			<p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5300061	Estuaire de la Rance	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 593.6 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5302007	Chaussée de Sein	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 600.5 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

NATURA Site Code	NAME	Screened In/Out	Conservation Objectives/ Features of interest/ Potential impact on Natura 2000 site.
FR2500077	Baie du Mont Saint-Michel	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 604.5 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>
FR5302016	Récifs du talus du golfe de Gascogne	In	<p>Conservation Objective</p> <p>To maintain (or restore where appropriate) the qualifying interests to favourable condition.</p> <p>Relevant Qualifying Interests</p> <p><i>Phocoena phocoena</i> (Harbour Porpoise) [1351]</p> <p>Potential Impact</p> <p>This SAC is 607.4 km from the proposed cable survey area. The proposed cable survey area is located within the Celtic and Irish Seas MU for Harbour Porpoise (JNCC, 2023).</p> <p>Initial assessment identifies that, in the absence of mitigation measures, and out of an abundance of caution, there may be potential for impact on harbour porpoise (qualifying interest of this SAC) through underwater noise and physical disturbance which could impact the Qualifying Interest of this SAC. Mitigation measures are required to protect the SAC from significant effects.</p> <p>The proposed project has the potential to introduce noise into the marine environment and mitigation measures are required to protect harbour porpoise.</p> <p>Natura Impact Statement Required</p>

4.5 Cumulative Impact Assessment

As outlined by (OSPAR, 2012) “Cumulative effects, the combined effect of more than one activity, may reinforce the impacts of a single activity due to temporal and/or spatial overlaps”. The potential for in-combination effects within the Zol that may occur as a result of the proposed project, during and post works has been assessed. The following cumulative impact assessment has been guided by the EC 2021 AA guidance document^e, with particular reference to “Table 2. Cumulative impact assessment”.

4.5.1 Geographic Boundaries and the Timeline for Assessment

The proposed project is primarily located within the intertidal and subtidal elements of Malahide Beach, Portmarnock Beach, and within the Irish EEZ. The potential Zol for in-combination effects for this assessment has been deemed to be projects located proximate to the landfall and intertidal elements of the survey works in addition to subtidal elements relating to underwater noise. Terrestrial planning applications have been examined for the potential for in-combination effects. Given that the proposed survey works extend to the offshore subtidal in the Irish Sea, the geographic boundaries of assessment was expanded to include coastal and offshore marine projects located within the Irish Sea.

In relation to the timeline for assessment, given the short temporal nature of the proposed works, and the fact that the proposed works will be isolated to the survey corridor extents with potential for noise to extend beyond the survey area, the most recent projects located within the vicinity of the proposed survey works area have been examined for potential in-combination effects.

4.5.2 Identification of Plans/Projects that could act In Combination

Fingal County Council planning permissions, Foreshore Applications, MARA Licence Applications, and EIA portal were examined, and the potential for in-combination effects due to development in the area.

Table 12. Fingal County Council Planning Permissions.

Ref. No.	Address	Proposal
F21A/0447	Gannon Park, Coast Road, Malahide, Co. Dublin	A temporary five year planning permission sought for a single storey portacabin to the rear of the fitness centre, as an extension to the existing creche. Permission also sought to relocate the existing out door play area, including all site works for same.
F20A/0576	Robswall Cottage, Coast Road, Malahide, Co. Dublin	The demolition of unfinished structures on site and the construction of a 2 storey, 5-bedroom dwelling at the north of the site and a 3 storey over lower ground floor apartment block (4 storey in total) building containing four 3-bedroom apartments and five 2-bedroom apartments, (9 apartments in total) at the south of the site. A new vehicular entrance is proposed onto the existing cul-de-sac roadway at the north of the site, removal and modifications to existing boundary walls, new access gates and piers, 14 no. car parking spaces will be provided for the apartments and 3 no. car parking spaces for the dwelling. 11 no bicycle parking spaces are provided for the apartment development. Construction of a bin and bike store together with all associated site works, drainage, boundary treatments, green roofs, tree planting and landscaping.
F18A/0437	Gannon Park, Coast Road, Malahide, Co. Dublin	Permission for 6 no. additional flood lighting columns 15m high to new all weather football pitch with associated enclosure fencing and site development work to the eastern side.
F18A/0373	White Sands Hotel, Coast Road,	Construction of new single storey 7sq.m. entrance lobby, entrance canopy, universal access ramp and new steps to existing front entrance

^e [Official Journal C 437/2021 \(europa.eu\)](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2021/1755/oj)

	Portmarnock, Dublin	Co.	and associated alterations to the elevation, all to the east elevation of the White Sands Hotel.
F18A/0249	Eagle's View, Wendell Avenue, Portmarnock, Dublin 13		The development will consist of (A) Part demolitions of existing two storey detached dwelling and removal of the roof (B) Construction of one and two storey extensions to the front, side and rear elevations (C) Revisions to external finishes to include new window sizes and locations (D) Construction of a new pitched roof with provision of dormer roof windows and rooflights (E) Provision of 1st floor roof terrace with privacy screening to protect existing residential amenities to the south east (F) Provision of a new, wider vehicular entrance gate accessed from Wendell Avenue (G) All associate site works.
F17A/0296	Lenaboy, Coast Road, Malahide, Co. Dublin		Permission for the demolition of an existing two storey detached dwelling and single storey garage to rear. The construction of a replacement two storey detached dwelling to accommodate a Kitchen, Dining Room, Living Room at ground floor, 4 No. bedrooms with Bathroom on the first floor and an attic Studio space, all in lieu of demolished structure. A new landscape layout to front and rear gardens to include parking area for 3 No. cars the construction of new entrance walls, piers and gates increasing the existing vehicle entrance width in front and the alteration of the existing foul and surface water drainage to replace existing surface water drainage.

Table 13. Foreshore/Marine licence applications proximate to the proposed survey corridor

Reference	Title	Year	Location	Activity	Status
FS007635	MaresConnect Electricity Interconnector Site Investigation	2023	FLAA is from Portmarnock, Co. Dublin to Skerries, Co. Dublin Investigative landfall zones include: Ardgillan - Barnageeragh Cove Balcarrick - Eagans Field Loughshiny - Rockabill View Robswalls - Malahide Rush	Marine investigative survey works for the MaresConnect Ltd (MCL) Interconnector. The proposed works includes surveys 50m landward of the high-water mark to overlap with the terrestrial survey works.	Applied
FS007180	Tech Works Marine Ltd. Data Buoy Deployment	2022	Scotsman's Bay, Dun Laoghaire, Co. Dublin	Deployment of a small Data Buoy with multiple environmental (non-acoustic) sensors to test communications technology for data acquisition	Applied
FS006984	Rush Sailing Club Landing Pontoon	2022	Rush Sailing Club, Rogerstown, Rush, Co. Dublin	Construction of a new disability access landing pontoon to include new floating pontoon, access gangway, landing area, and alterations to existing boundary sea wall, boundary wall, and footpath to accommodate same, and associated site works	Applied
FS007605	Irish Water Benthic Survey	2022	Survey area commences at the R106 Coast Road (at Maynetown), north of Baldoyle and	Benthic survey of the proposed outfall pipeline (marine section) area and its environs associated with the Greater Dublin Drainage Project.	Consultation

Reference	Title	Year	Location	Activity	Status
			terminates 1km north-east of Ireland's Eye		
FS007472	Mac Lir Offshore Wind Limited Site Investigations for proposed Offshore Wind Farm, off Counties Wexford, Wicklow, and Dublin	2022	Off Counties Wicklow, Wexford, and Dublin	Benthic ecology surveys within a potential offshore export cable corridor area. The proposed surveys will be conducted on the shoreline and in the marine area and are routine in establishing the baseline benthic ecology conditions for areas for a number of purposes including conservation, environmental status and in this particular case to support the Environmental Impact Assessment Report for the proposed Mac Lir Offshore Wind Farm.	Applied
FS007363	Greystones (OWL) Windfarm Ltd. proposing to develop windfarm off Dublin/Wicklow	2022	Off Counties Wicklow and Dublin	Greystones OWL Windfarm Limited is proposing to develop an offshore wind farm at a site off the Wicklow/Dublin coast. Greystones OWL Windfarm Limited is seeking to undertake a variety of marine surveys at the proposed site to inform the specific location, design and layout of the proposed offshore wind farm and export cable route to shore.	Applied
FS007546	Site Investigations for proposed Offshore Wind Farm, off counties Wicklow and Dublin	2022	Off counties Wicklow and Dublin	The main aims and objectives of the proposed activities are to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide up to date detailed bathymetric mapping of the seabed; • Provide further information on the soil stability and morphology of the seabed; • Provide detailed information on ground conditions and geology; • Obtain up to date wind resource and metocean data for the site; and • To generate environmental and ecological data to inform the EIA and AA for the Codling Wind Park project. 	Determination
FS007330	Site Investigations off the coasts of Wicklow and Dublin	2021	Off Counties Wicklow and Dublin	Site investigation works to determine the suitability for cable routeing, and positioning of turbines and other electrical infrastructure associated with the development of an OWF. The results of these surveys will also provide baseline data for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and a subsequent Environmental	Applied

Reference	Title	Year	Location	Activity	Status
				Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) should the development be taken forward to the planning/consenting stage.	
FS007392	Site Investigations for the proposed Lir Offshore Array, off counties Louth, Meath, and Dublin	2021	Off Counties Louth, Meath, and Dublin	Surveys and Site Investigations (SI) to inform development and project design for the proposed site. The surveys will be geophysical, geotechnical, environmental and metocean.	Applied
FS007151	Site Investigations for the proposed Sunrise Offshore Wind Farm, off Counties Dublin and Wicklow	2021	Off Counties Dublin and Wicklow	Site investigation activities to undertake a variety of marine surveys at the proposed site in order to inform the specific location, design and layout of the proposed offshore wind farm and export cable route to shore. The surveys will include geophysical, geotechnical, environmental and metocean campaigns. The site investigation surveys in the proposed Foreshore Licence Application Area will support the development of the proposed Sunrise Offshore Wind Farm.	Consultation
FS006909	Broadmeadow Way Greenway	2021	Malahide Demesne to Newbridge Demesne	A new greenway (shared footpath and cycleway) between Malahide Demesne and Newbridge Demesne via the railway causeway across the Malahide Estuary. The proposed greenway would be c. 6km in length. Much of the the proposed greenway follows existing pathways and roads.	Consultation
FS007373	Site Investigations off Co. Dublin	2021	Off the coast of Dublin	Site Investigations to inform feasibility assessments and design in relation to the proposed development of an offshore wind farm array to the east of County Dublin.	Consultation
FS007358	Site Investigations for Export Cable Route	2021	Off the coast of Co. Louth, Meath, and Dublin	Site investigation surveys necessary to determine the seabed and sub-sea conditions to establish the optimum location for and design of the export cable(s) to shore, and to establish the most appropriate route corridor and landfall location for the export cable(s) from the proposed North Irish Sea Array (NISA) offshore wind farm, located off the coasts of Dublin, Meath and Louth. The application includes for geophysical surveys (mutli-beam echo sounder, sub bottom profiling, side-scan	Determination

Reference	Title	Year	Location	Activity	Status
				sonar and magnetometer), geotechnical surveys (cone penetration tests and vibrocores along the potential routes and boreholes at the landfalls) and ecological surveys (fisheries surveys, benthic grab samples, intertidal benthic sampling).	
FS007188	Site Investigations for the proposed Dublin Array Offshore Wind Farm	2021	Off the coast of County Dublin and Wicklow	Geotechnical and geophysical site investigations and ecological, wind, wave and current monitoring to provide further data to refine wind farm design, cable routing, landfall design and associated installation methodologies for the proposed Dublin Array offshore wind farm.	Determination
FS007164	Dublin Port Capital Dredging Project	2021	Dublin Port	Capital Dredging at various locations around Dublin Port	Consultation
FS007132	Dublin Port Maintenance Dredging	2021	Dublin Port	Maintenance dredging at various locations in Dublin Port for the years 2022 to 2029.	Determination

Table 14. MARA licence applications proximate to the proposed survey corridor

Reference	Title	Year	Location	Activity	Status
LIC230028	LIC230028 – Iarnrod Eireann	2023	East Coast – Dublin to Wicklow	A Geotechnical Investigation (GI) and Geophysical site investigation surveys to inform design options for the proposed East Coast Rail Infrastructure Protection Projects (ECRIPP). The purpose of ECRIPP is to implement protection measures to at risk sections of the Dublin to Wexford railway line from the effects of climate change and coastal erosion	Applied
LIC230016	LIC230016 – Microsoft Ireland Operations Ltd.	2023	Dublin Port, Co. Dublin	Geophysical survey and site investigations for a proposed subsea fibre optic cable having a landfall in Dublin Port, County Dublin and to evaluate options for the route traversing Dublin Bay, across the Irish Sea to Anglesey, Wales.	Applied
LC230006	University College Cork Cetacean study within the Irish and Celtic Seas	2023	Irish and Celtic Seas	The proposed maritime usage is to deploy passive acoustic monitoring devices to describe seasonal and diurnal occurrence of whales, dolphins and porpoises (cetaceans) in the Irish Sea and the Celtic Sea. The work is being carried out as part of a larger multidisciplinary research project called CETUS. The CETUS project: Cetacean, Elasmobranch, Turtle, and Seabird distribution modelling platform will provide scientific data that can be used to support the sustainable development of offshore renewable energy and is funded by Sustainable Energy Authority of Ireland (SEAI).	Determined

4.5.3 Impact Identification

There are no projects, identified within Fingal County Council, Foreshore Licence applications, or MARA planning records, that have been granted planning or currently under construction, proximate to the proposed survey works, that could potentially cause significant in combination effects on European sites.

The potential impacts of the proposed cable route survey are Temporary (i.e. Effects lasting less than a year) in relation to seabed sampling and brief, lasting less than a day, in relation to underwater noise and primarily to occur during the brief survey period (with the presence of boats, machinery and personnel in the vicinity of the works). Impacts on infauna would be deemed to be temporary (i.e. Effects lasting less than a year).

4.5.4 Pathway Identification

The proposed cable survey route is in an area that experiences existing vessel activity. Given that intertidal elements of the proposed survey works are located within the intertidal of Malahide Beach and Portmarnock Beach, there is a potential hydrological pathway from the vessel to designated conservation sites located within Malahide Estuary. These conservation sites are located downstream of a number of terrestrial planning applications outlined in Table 12. In the marine offshore subtidal of Malahide Estuary and the Irish Sea, there is a potential hydrological pathway from the research vessel to marine-based conservation sites within the Irish Sea. A number of Foreshore applications are located in this area, and may share a hydrological pathway with the proposed survey works.

4.5.5 Prediction

The survey works would not be seen to have a significant impact on water quality of the area, including impacting the water quality status. Given the scale and the temporal nature of the proposed survey works, no significant cumulative effects with other identified plans or projects are foreseen. Any potential impacts from a pathway that the research vessel may share with projects identified in Tables 12 - 14 are considered to be minimal, and no significant cumulative effects on designated conservation sites are foreseen.

4.5.6 Assessment

The projects outlined above are either completed or, are currently going through planning stages and are not expected to be carried out concurrently or are not at a scale or location where in combination effects are foreseen with the proposed project. This report pertains to survey works for the proposed route for a marine fibre optic cable in subtidal and intertidal habitats. As can be seen from using the Best Available Techniques and mitigation measures during survey works, considerable effort has gone into minimising the potential environmental impact of the project. *“Generally all mitigation measures applied for individual cables also contribute to reduction of cumulative impacts”* (OSPAR, 2012).

No likely in combination effects are foreseen from the project in conjunction with other projects.

4.6 Appropriate Assessment Screening Conclusions

An initial screening of the proposed works, using the precautionary principle (without the use of any mitigation measures) and Natura 2000 sites with the potential to result in significant effects on the conservation objectives and features of interest of the Natura 2000 sites was carried out in Table 8. Based on best scientific knowledge and objective information and assessment, the possibility of significant effects caused by the proposed project was excluded for the following Natura 2000 sites:

Special Protection Areas

Baldoyle Bay SPA
Irelands' Eye SPA
Lambay Island SPA
Rogerstown Estuary SPA
North Bull Island SPA
Howth Head Coast SPA
South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA
Rockabill SPA
Skerries Islands SPA

Special Areas of Conservation

Baldoyle Bay SAC
Ireland's Eye SAC
North Dublin Bay SAC
Rogerstown Estuary SAC
Howth Head SAC
South Dublin Bay SAC

The project is limited in scale and extent and the potential zone of influence is restricted to the immediate vicinity of the survey route, with the exception of underwater noise that may extend beyond the survey corridor. The proposed intertidal survey is within Malahide Estuary SAC, Malahide Estuary SPA and North West Irish Sea SPA. Subtidal elements of the project are located within the boundaries of Malahide Estuary SAC, Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC, Malahide Estuary SPA, and North-West Irish Sea SPA. Further, it should be noted that the following Natura 2000 sites have been screened IN due to the potential movements of harbour porpoise, common bottlenose dolphin, harbour seals, and grey seals (qualifying interests of these SAC):

- Slaney River Valley SAC (IE)
- Saltee Islands SAC (IE)
- Roaring Water Bay and Islands SAC (IE)
- Blasket Islands SAC (IE)
- North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol (UK)
- West Wales Marine / Gorllewin Cymru Forol (UK)
- Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau (UK)
- Murlough (UK)
- North Channel (UK)
- Strangford Lough (UK)
- Cardigan Bay / Bae Ceredigion (UK)
- Pembrokeshire Marine / Sir Benfro Forol (UK)
- The Maidens SAC (UK)
- Bristol Channel Approaches/Dynesfeydd Môr Hafren (UK)
- South-East Islay Skerries (UK)
- Lundy (UK)
- Isles of Scilly Complex (UK)

- Nord Bretagne DH (FR)
- Récifs et landes de la Hague (FR)
- Anse de Vauville (FR)
- Mers Celtiques – Talus du golfe de Gascogne (FR)
- Banc et récifs de Surtainville (FR)
- Côte de Granit rose-Sept-Iles (FR)
- Trégor – Goëlo (FR)
- Baie de Morlaix (FR)
- Abers – Côtes des legends (FR)
- Rivière Leguer, forêts de Beffou, Coat an Noz et Coat an Hay (FR)
- Cap d’Erquy-Cap Fréhel (FR)
- Ouessant-Molène (FR)
- Chausey (FR)
- Baie de Saint-Brieuc – Est (FR)
- Côtes de Crozon (FR)
- Baie du Mont Saint-Michel (FR)
- Baie de Lancieux, Baie de l’Arguenon, Archipel de Saint Malo et Dinard (FR)
- Estuaire de la Rance (FR)
- Chaussée de Sein (FR)
- Récifs du talus du golfe de Gascogne (FR)

The distribution of these species may bring them within the proximity of the subtidal survey works. Standard marine mammal mitigation measures will be in place (in compliance with NPWS guidance) and as a result it is required to go to NIS for these SACs.

Acting on a strictly precautionary basis, NIS is required in respect of the effects of the project on the Natura 2000 sites screened IN for NIS (potential habitat and disturbance effects in the absence of mitigation) because it cannot be excluded on the basis of best objective scientific information following screening, in the absence of control or mitigation measures that the plan or project, individually and/or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on the named European Site/s.

An NIS or Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment is not required for the effects of the project on all other Natura sites because it can be excluded on the basis of the best objective scientific information following screening that the plan or project, individually and/or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on the European Site/s.

A Stage 2 AA (NIS) is required for the proposed project.

4.7 Data Used for AA Screening

NPWS site synopses and Conservation objectives of sites within 15km were assessed. The most recent SAC and SPA boundary shapefiles were downloaded and overlaid on Bing road maps and satellite imagery.

4.8 References

1. DoEHLG, 2009. Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland: Guidance for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government 2009; http://www.npws.ie/publications/archive/NPWS_2009_AA_Guidance.pdf
2. DoEHLG, 2013. Department of Environment Heritage and Local Government Circular NPW 1/10 and PSSP 2/10 on Appropriate Assessment under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive – Guidance for Planning Authorities March 2010.
3. European Commission, 2006. Managing NATURA 2000 Sites: the provisions of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, European Commission 2000; http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/Natura2000/management/docs/art6/provision_of_art6_en.pdf
4. European Commission, 2001. Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting NATURA 2000 Sites: Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC; http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/management/docs/art6/natura_2000_assess_en.pdf
5. European Commission. 2006. Nature and biodiversity cases: Ruling of the European Court of Justice. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
6. European Commission, 2011. Guidance document on the implementation of the birds and habitats directive in estuaries and coastal zones with particular attention to port development and dredging; http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/Natura2000/management/docs/guidance_doc.pdf
7. NPWS, 2013. The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. http://www.npws.ie/publications/euconservationstatus/NPWS_2007_Conservation_Status_Report.pdf
8. NPWS(2012c) Marine Natura Impact Statements in Irish Special Areas of Conservation- A working Document. <http://www.dcenr.gov.ie/NR/rdonlyres/2071E865-EC10-42A1-876F-44A3C1FBF527/0/MarineNatureImpact.pdf>
9. OSPAR (2012) Guidelines on Best Environmental Practice (BEP) in Cable Laying and Operation
10. OSPAR, 2008a: Background Document on potential problems associated with power cables other than those for oil and gas activities. – Publication Number: 370/2008, 50 p.
11. OSPAR, 2009: Assessment of the environmental impacts of cables. – Publication Number: 437/2009, 19 p.
12. Offshore Renewable Energy Development Plan (ORED) for Ireland (2011) Natura Impact Statement (NIS)
13. O'Brien, J (2013). CETACEAN PRESENCE AT THE OCEAN ENERGY TEST SITE SPIDDAL: AS DETERMINED THROUGH LAND-BASED VISUAL MONITORING AND STATIC ACOUSTIC MONITORING USING PODS
14. Konsberg (2010), Underwater noise propagation modelling and estimate of impact zones for seismic operations in the Moray Firth. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/50020/mf-annexii.pdf
15. NOAA 2018 Revision to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0) Underwater Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-59 April 2018.
16. DAHG (2014). Guidance to Manage the Risk to Marine Mammals from Man made Sound Sources in Irish Waters. https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/general/Underwater%20sound%20guidance_Jan%202014.pdf
17. BEIS. (2020). Review of Consented Offshore Wind Farms in the Southern North Sea Harbour Porpoise SAC.
18. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Office of Renewable Energy Programs (2012). Commercial Wind Lease Issuance and Site Assessment Activities on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf Offshore Massachusetts, Environmental Assessment. Published by U.S. Department of the Interior. October 2012.
19. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) (2016). Characteristics of sounds emitted during high resolution marine geophysical surveys U.S. OCS Study BOEM 2016-044 NUWC-NPT Technical Report 12.
20. Crocker SE, Fratantonio FD. 2016. Characteristics of High-Frequency Sounds Emitted During High-Resolution Geophysical Surveys. OCS Study, BOEM 2016-44, NUWC-NPT Technical Report 12, 203pp.
21. D'Amico AD, Pittenger R. 2009. A brief history of active sonar. Aquatic Mammals 35(4), 426-434.
22. Danson, E. (2005). Geotechnical and geophysical investigations for offshore and nearshore developments. Technical Committee 1, International Society for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, September 2005.
23. DECC (2011), Review and Assessment of Underwater Sound Produced from Oil and Gas Sound Activities and Potential Reporting Requirements under the Marine Strategy Framework Directive. Document No: J71656-Final Report –G2

24. Department of Arts, Heritage and Gaeltacht (2014), Guidance to Manage the Risk to Marine Mammals from Man-made Sound Sources in Irish Waters.
25. EIRGRID PLC. (2014). Celtic Interconnector Project: Marine Mammal Risk Assessment. Produced by Intertek Energy and Water consultancy services. Report Reference: Attachment F_P1812_R3691_REV1.
26. Hartley Anderson (2020), underwater acoustic surveys: review of source characteristics, impacts on marine species, current regulatory framework and recommendations for potential management options. NRW Evidence Report No: 448, 136pp, NRW, Bangor, UK.
27. Hildebrand JA, 2009. Anthropogenic and natural sources of ambient noise in the ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 395, 5-20.
28. Hildebrand JA. 2005. Impacts of anthropogenic sound. In: Reynolds JE, Perrin WF, Reeves RR, Montgomery S, Ragen TJ (eds) *Marine mammal research: conservation beyond crisis*. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press p101-124.
29. Hopkins, A. (2007). Recommended operating guidelines (ROG) for swath bathymetry. MESH.
30. Lam F-P, Kvasdheim PH, Isojunno S, van IJsselmuide S, Wensveen PJ, Hansen RR, Sivle LD, Kleivane L, Martín López LM, Benti B, Dekeling R, Miller PJO. 2018. Behavioral response study on the effects of continuous sonar and the effects of source proximity on sperm whales in Norwegian waters - The 3S-2017 Cruise Report. TNO Report TNO 2018 R10958, 54pp plus appendices.
31. LGL Alaska Research Associates and Jasco Applied Sciences (2010), Marine Mammal Monitoring and Mitigation during Marine Geophysical Surveys by Shell Offshore Inc. in the Alaskan Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, July – October 2010:90-Day Report
32. Lurton X, DeRuiter SL. 2011. Sound radiation of seafloor-mapping echo sounders in the water column, in relation to the risks posed to marine mammals. *International Hydrographic Review*, Nov 2011, 11pp.
33. Lurton X. 2016. Modelling of the sound field radiated by Multibeam echo sounders for acoustical impact assessment. *Applied Acoustics* 101, 201-221.
34. Pei Y, Kan G, Zhang L, Huang Y, Liu Z, Liu B, Yan K. 2019. Characteristics of source wavelets generated by two sparkers. *Journal of Applied Geophysics* 170, 103819.
35. Risch D, Wilson B, Lepper P. 2017. Acoustic assessment of SIMRAD EK60 high frequency echo sounder signals (120 & 200 kHz) in the context of marine mammal monitoring. *Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science* Vol. 8, No. 13, published by Marine Scotland Science, 27pp.
36. NOAA 2018 Revision to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0) Underwater Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-59 April 2018.
37. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: North Dublin Bay SAC 000206. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
38. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Rockabill to Dalkey Island SAC 003000. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
39. NPWS (2016) Conservation Objectives: Howth Head SAC 000202. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
40. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: South Dublin Bay SAC 000210. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
41. NPWS (2012) Conservation Objectives: Baldoyle Bay SAC 000199. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
42. NPWS (2017) Conservation Objectives: Ireland's Eye SAC 002193. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
43. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Malahide Estuary SAC 000205. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
44. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Lambay Island SAC 000204. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
45. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Rogerstown Estuary SAC 000208. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.
46. NPWS (2015) Conservation Objectives: North Bull Island SPA 004006. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
47. NPWS (2022) Conservation Objectives: Howth Head Coast SPA 004113. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
48. NPWS (2015) Conservation Objectives: South Dublin Bay and River Tolka SPA 004024. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

49. NPWS (2022) Conservation Objectives: Ireland's Eye SPA 004117. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
50. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Baldoyle Bay SPA 004016. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
51. NPWS (2022) Conservation Objectives: Lambay Island SPA 004069. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
52. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Malahide Estuary SPA 004025. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
53. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Rogerstown Estuary SPA 004015. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
54. NPWS (2023) Site Synopsis: North-West Irish Sea cSPA: <https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY004236.pdf>
55. NPWS (2023) Conservation Objectives: North-west Irish Sea SPA 004236. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
56. NPWS (2022) Conservation objectives for Skerries Islands SPA [004122]. First Order Sitespecific Conservation Objectives Version 1.0. Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
57. NPWS (2013) Conservation Objectives: Rockabill SPA 004014. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
58. Cronin, M., Pomeroy, P., & Jessopp, M. (2012). *Size and seasonal influences on the foraging range of female grey seals in the northeast Atlantic. Marine Biology*, 160(3), 531–539. doi:10.1007/s00227-012-2109-0
59. Ridgway, S and Harrison, R, 1999, Handbook of Marine Mammals, The Second Book of Dolphins and Porpoises, Vol 6, Academic Press, 339-340
60. Southall et al. (2019) Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Updated Scientific Recommendations for Residual Hearing Effects
61. Costello, M, 2017, NBDC Sightings Data, Marine sites, habitats and species data collected during the BioMar survey of Ireland, <https://www.gbif.org/dataset/5df3c9be-d9a1-4c36-a5bc-bdf88b78dbe3>
62. Reid, N., Hayden, B., Lundy, M.G., Pietravalle, S., McDonald, R.A. & Montgomery, W.I. (2013) National Otter Survey of Ireland 2010/12. Irish Wildlife Manuals No. 76. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.
63. Rikardsen, A.H., Righton, D., Strøm, J.F. *et al.* Redefining the oceanic distribution of Atlantic salmon. *Sci Rep* 11, 12266 (2021).
64. Carter Matt I. D., Boehme Lars, Cronin Michelle A., Duck Callan D., Grecian W. James, Hastie Gordon D., Jessopp Mark, Matthiopoulos Jason, McConnell Bernie J., Miller David L., Morris Chris D., Moss Simon E. W., Thompson Dave, Thompson Paul M., Russell Debbie J. F. "Sympatric Seals, Satellite Tracking and Protected Areas: Habitat-Based Distribution Estimates for Conservation and Management", *Frontiers in Marine Science*. Vol. 9. (2022).
65. Davies, P., Britton, R.J., Nunn, A.D., Dodd, J.R., Crundwell, C., Velterop, R., Ó'Maoiléidigh, N., O'Neill, R., Sheehan, E.V., Stamp, T., Bolland, J.D. "Novel Insights into the marine phase and river fidelity of anadromous twaite shad *Alosa fallax* in the UK and Ireland", *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. Vol. 30, no. 7. (2020).

Appendix I




Figure A.1. Area use during the ocean migration of tagged Atlantic salmon (Ireland = Green) (Source: Rikardsen et al., 2021).